arXiv:1007.3658v4 [math.DG] 29 Sep 2011

$\mathcal{VB}$-GROUPOIDS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY OF LIE GROUPOIDS

ALFONSO GRACIA-SAZ AND RAJAN AMIT MEHTA

Abstract. A $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid is a vector-bundle object in the category of Lie groupoids. In this paper, we explain how $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids are the intrinsic geometric objects that correspond to 2-term representations up to homotopy of Lie groupoids. In particular, the tangent bundle of a Lie groupoid is a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid that corresponds to the adjoint representations up to homotopy. The value of this point of view is that the tangent bundle is canonical, whereas the adjoint representations up to homotopy depend on a choice of connection.

In the process of describing the correspondence between $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and representations up to homotopy, we define a cochain complex that is canonically associated to any $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid. The cohomology of this complex is isomorphic to the groupoid cohomology with values in the corresponding representations up to homotopy.

The classification of regular $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids leads to a new cohomological invariant associated to regular Lie groupoids.

1. Introduction

$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids were introduced by Pradines [15] in relation to the theory of symplectic groupoids [3] and have played an important role in the study of double structures by Kirill Mackenzie and his collaborators [8, 10, 11, 12, 14]. A $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid can essentially be defined as a "vector-bundle object in the category of Lie groupoids". Two well-studied examples are the tangent prolongation $TG \rightrightarrows TM$ and cotangent prolongation $T^*G \rightrightarrows A^*$, where $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A. In fact, TG and T^*G are, respectively, the standard examples of $\mathcal{LA}$-groupoid [9] and symplectic groupoid.

$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids are also the objects that integrate $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids [2]. In [6], it was shown that $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids provide a good framework for studying the representation theory of Lie algebroids. The goal of this paper is to describe the analogous relationship between $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and the representation theory of Lie groupoids.

The traditional notion of Lie groupoid representation is known to be too restrictive to adequately generalize that of Lie group representation. Specifically, whereas a Lie group possesses at least one representation on any vector space (namely the trivial one) and has a canonical adjoint representation, Lie groupoids often do not possess any representations on a given vector bundle and do not have well-defined adjoint representations. To remedy this situation, Arias Abad and Crainic [1] proposed the more general notion of *representation up to homotopy* for Lie groupoids. They were then able to define an "adjoint representation" (up to homotopy) of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ with Lie algebroid A on the 2-term graded vector bundle $A[1] \oplus TM$, with a differential given by the anchor map $\rho : A \to TM$. However, their construction is noncanonical, requiring the choice of an Ehresmann connection on G. Different choices lead to isomorphic representations up to homotopy, so the canonical "adjoint representation" arising from their construction is actually an isomorphism class of representations up to homotopy. Alternatively, one can interpret their construction as providing a canonical functor from the category of Ehresmann connections on G to the category of representations up to homotopy of G.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18D05, 22A22, 53D17.

Key words and phrases. Lie groupoid, representation up to homotopy, VB-groupoid, Ehresmann double, adjoint representation.

$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid	vector space equipped with endomorphism
horizontal lift	choice of basis
2-term rep. up to homotopy	matrix

FIGURE 1. Analogy between concepts in Lie groupoid representation theory and in linear algebra.

We claim that $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids provide a geometric model for 2-term representations up to homotopy for which the "adjoint" object is canonical on the nose. More precisely, given a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, one can produce a 2-term representation up to homotopy by choosing a *horizontal lift*, and different choices of horizontal lift lead to isomorphic representations up to homotopy. Conversely, given a 2-term representation up to homotopy, one can produce a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid equipped with a horizontal lift.

In order to better understand the relationship between $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and representations up to homotopy, it may be helpful to consider the analogy with linear algebra in Figure 1. Given a vector space equipped with an endomorphism, one can produce a matrix by making a choice of basis. Two different matrices obtained in this manner will be conjugate. Similarly, given a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, one can produce a 2-term representation up to homotopy by making a choice of horizontal lift. Two different representations up to homotopy obtained in this manner will be isomorphic. Following this analogy, we argue that $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids are the intrinsic, geometric objects of which 2-term representations up to homotopy are the algebraic manifestations.

In the case of a tangent prolongation $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid TG, a horizontal lift is equivalent to an Ehresmann connection on G, and the representations up to homotopy that arise from TG are exactly the adjoint representations up to homotopy described by Arias Abad and Crainic. Thus, we may think of TG as the "adjoint $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid" associated to G. Note that the operation taking G to TG is a canonical functor from the category of Lie groupoids to the category of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids.

Structure of the paper.

- In §2, we recall Arias Abad and Crainic's notion of representation up to homotopy of a Lie groupoid [1].
- In §3, we recall the definition and basic facts about $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids, including the definition of horizontal lift. In §3.3, we give formulas (depending on the choice of a horizontal lift) for the representations up to homotopy arising from a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid.
- In §4, we review the construction of the dual of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid.
- The heart of the paper is §5, where we construct a canonical *$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex* associated to any $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid. The resulting cohomology is a generalization of groupoid cohomology with values in a representation. A choice of horizontal lift allows us to produce a representation up to homotopy. In Appendix A, we show that the representations up to homotopy arising in this manner agree with the formulas given in §3.3. In §5.3, we study how the representation up to homotopy depends on the choice of horizontal lift.
- In §6, we prove (Corollary 6.3) that isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids are in one-to-one correspondence with isomorphism classes of 2-term representations up to homotopy. Then, in Theorem 6.12, we classify $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids satisfying a regularity condition. Of course, in light of Theorem 6.2, this result may be interpreted as a classification of regular 2-term representations up to homotopy.
- The classification result of Theorem 6.12 involves a cohomological invariant. This characteristic class is a "higher categorical" invariant, in the sense that it contains information about the "homotopy," rather than the "representation." In §7, we give a geometric interpretation of this invariant in the case of the "adjoint" $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid TG. Effectively, this characteristic class is an invariant of G itself.

- In §8, we describe another perspective toward the construction of representations up to homotopy from $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids, via the *fat category*. In spirit, this point of view follows the Evens-Lu-Weinstein [5] approach to the adjoint representation, in which canonical representations of the 1-jet prolongation groupoid were pulled back to G.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at Washington University in Saint Louis, as well as Eckhard Meinrenken, for funding visits during which this work was developed. We thank Jim Stasheff for providing useful comments on a draft of this paper. We also thank Kirill Mackenzie for interesting discussions related to this work.

2. Groupoid representations and representations up to homotopy

In this section, we review the cohomological point of view for Lie groupoid representations, from which the generalization to representations up to homotopy is straightforward. The material on representations and cohomology is standard and can be found in, e.g., [13]. The material on representations up to homotopy essentially follows that of [1].

The main concern of this paper is 2-term representations up to homotopy, and in §§2.7–2.8 we specialize to this case.

We remark that, although we will be working in the smooth category, the general theory of representations up to homotopy and $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids goes through in the topological category. The key points where smoothness is used are to define the "adjoint representation" via the tangent bundle and to prove existence of decompositions in §3.2.

2.1. **Lie groupoid representations.**

Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle. The *frame groupoid* $\mathcal{G}(E)$ is the groupoid whose set of objects is M and whose morphisms are isomorphisms $E_x \overset{\sim}{\to} E_y$ for $x, y \in M$. The frame groupoid is a Lie groupoid; we refer the reader to [13] for details.

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid. A *representation* of G is a vector bundle $E \to M$ and a Lie groupoid morphism $\Delta : G \to \mathcal{G}(E)$.

Example 2.1. When M is a point, then G is a Lie group, E is a vector space, and $\mathcal{G}(E)$ is the general linear group on E. Thus we recover the usual notion of Lie group representation.

Example 2.2. When $G = M \times M$ is a pair groupoid, a representation of G on E is equivalent to a trivialization of E. When G is the fundamental groupoid of a manifold M, then a representation of G on E gives a notion of parallel transport along homotopy classes of paths (so it is equivalent to a flat connection).

As we have noted in the introduction, the notion of Lie groupoid representation is too restrictive. For example, if $E \to M$ is nontrivializable, then there do not exist any representations at all of the pair groupoid $M \times M$ on E.

In the next sections, we will explain how the definition of Lie groupoid representation can be restated in cohomological terms. From this point of view, the generalization to representations up to homotopy is quite natural.

2.2. **Lie groupoid cohomology.**

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with source and target maps $s, t : G \to M$. Let $G^{(0)} := M$, and for $p > 0$ let $G^{(p)}$ be the manifold consisting of composable p-tuplets of elements of G. In other words,

$$G^{(p)} := G \,{}_s\!\times_t \cdots {}_s\!\times_t G = \{(g_1, \dots, g_p) : s(g_i) = t(g_{i+1})\}.$$

The space of ($\mathbb{R}$-valued) smooth groupoid p-cochains is $C^p(G) := C^\infty(G^{(p)})$. There is a coboundary operator $\delta : C^p(G) \to C^{p+1}(G)$ on the space of cochains, which for $p = 0$ is given by

$$(\delta f)(g) = f(s(g)) - f(t(g))$$

for $f \in C^0(G) = C^\infty(M)$ and $g \in G$, and for $p > 0$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta f)(g_0, \dots, g_p) =& f(g_1, \dots, g_p) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} (-1)^i f(g_0, \dots, g_{i-1}g_i, \dots, g_p) \\ &+ (-1)^{p+1} f(g_0, \dots, g_{p-1})\end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C^p(G)$ and $(g_0, \dots, g_p) \in G^{(p+1)}$. The equation $\delta^2 = 0$ is a consequence of the groupoid axioms. The cohomology of the complex $(C^\bullet(G), \delta)$ is known as the *smooth groupoid cohomology* of G.

There is a product $C^p(G) \times C^q(G) \to C^{p+q}(G)$, $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 \star f_2$, given by

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(g_1, \dots, g_{p+q}) = f_1(g_1, \dots, g_p) f_2(g_{p+1}, \dots, g_{p+q})$$

for $f_1 \in C^p(G)$, $f_2 \in C^q(G)$, and $p, q > 0$. If $p = 0$, $q > 0$, then

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(g_1, \dots, g_q) = f_1(t(g_1)) f_2(g_1, \dots, g_q), \tag{2.1}$$

and if $q = 0$, $p > 0$, then

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(g_1, \dots, g_p) = f_1(g_1, \dots, g_p) f_2(s(g_p)). \tag{2.2}$$

If $p = q = 0$, then

$$f_1 \star f_2 = f_1 f_2. \tag{2.3}$$

The coboundary operator δ is a graded derivation with respect to the product:

$$\delta(f_1 \star f_2) = (\delta f_1) \star f_2 + (-1)^{|f_1|} f_1 \star (\delta f_2).$$

A cochain $f \in C^p(G)$ is called *normalized* if f vanishes whenever at least one of its arguments is a unit. In particular, every 0-cochain is normalized. The space of normalized cochains is closed under the coboundary operator δ and under the product $\star$.

2.3. **Lie groupoid cohomology with values in a representation.**

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, and let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle. The space of smooth groupoid p-cochains with values in E is $C^p(G; E) := \Gamma((\pi_0^p)^* E)$, where $\pi_0^p : G^{(p)} \to M$ is the identity for $p = 0$ and is given by $\pi_0^p(g_1, \dots, g_p) = t(g_1)$ for $p > 0$. In the language of simplicial structures, π_0^p is the map that takes a p-simplex to its 0th vertex. More concretely, if $\omega \in C^p(G; E)$, then $\omega(g_1, \dots, g_p)$ is an element of $E_{t(g_1)}$.

There is a right $C(G)$-module structure on $C(G; E)$, given by

$$(\omega \star f)(g_1, \dots, g_{p+q}) = \omega(g_1, \dots, g_p) f(g_{p+1}, \dots, g_{p+q})$$

for $\omega \in C^p(G; E)$, $f \in C^q(G)$, and $p, q > 0$. When p or q is zero, the formula for $\omega \star f$ is similar to equations (2.1)–(2.3).

The space $C^p(G; E)$, as the space of sections of a pullback bundle, can be identified with $\Gamma(E) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} C(G)$, where the tensor structure is given by $\varepsilon\phi \otimes f = \varepsilon \otimes (\phi \star f)$ for $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$, $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$, and $f \in C(G)$. The following statement is an immediate consequence.

Proposition 2.3. *The map $\varepsilon \otimes f \mapsto \varepsilon \star f$ is an isomorphism of right $C(G)$-modules from $\Gamma(E) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} C(G)$ to $C(G; E)$.*

Proposition 2.3 implies that $C(G; E)$ is generated as a right $C(G)$-module by $\Gamma(E) = C^0(G; E)$.

Given a representation Δ of G on E, we can construct a degree 1 operator D on $C(G; E)$, whose action on 0-forms is given by

$$(D\varepsilon)(g) = \Delta_g \varepsilon_{s(g)} - \varepsilon_{t(g)}$$

for $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$ and $g \in G$, and for $p > 0$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}(D\omega)(g_0, \dots, g_p) =& \Delta_{g_0}\omega(g_1, \dots, g_p) + \sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^i\omega(g_0, \dots, g_{i-1}g_i, \dots, g_p) \\ &+ (-1)^{p+1}\omega(g_0, \dots, g_{p-1})\end{aligned}$$

for $\omega \in C^p(G; E)$. The operator D satisfies the equation $D^2 = 0$ and the following graded Leibniz identity:

$$D(\omega \star f) = (D\omega) \star f + (-1)^{|\omega|}\omega \star (\delta f). \tag{2.4}$$

The cohomology of the complex $(C(G; E), D)$ is known as the smooth groupoid cohomology of G with values in E. In this case where E is the trivial real line bundle over M with the trivial representation, then we recover the $\mathbb{R}$-valued smooth groupoid cohomology.

We define normalized E-valued cochains in the same way as with $\mathbb{R}$-valued cochains. The space of normalized cochains is closed under the action of D, and $\omega \star f$ is normalized if $\omega \in C(G; E)$ and $f \in C(G)$ are both normalized.

2.4. **Lie groupoid representations revisited.** In this section, we will proceed in the direction opposite to that of §2.3; that is, we will begin with an operator D and attempt to construct a representation Δ.

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle, and let D be a continuous (with respect to the Fréchet topology) degree 1 operator on $C(G; E)$ satisfying (2.4). For any $g \in G$, we may obtain a linear map $\Delta_g : E_{s(g)} \to E_{t(g)}$, given by

$$\Delta_g \varepsilon_{s(g)} = (D\varepsilon)(g) + \varepsilon_{t(g)} \tag{2.5}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$. Using (2.4), one can verify that

$$D(\varepsilon f)(g) + (\varepsilon f)_{t(g)} = (D(\varepsilon)(g) + \varepsilon_{t(g)})f_{s(g)}$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$. This implies that (2.5) well-defines Δ_g.

We may think of $\Delta : g \mapsto \Delta_g$ as a map from G to the *frame category* $\mathcal{C}(E)$ whose set of objects is M and whose morphisms are (not necessarily invertible) linear maps $E_x \to E_y$ for $x, y \in M$. The frame category is a Lie category[1] that contains the frame groupoid as a smooth subcategory. In fact, the frame groupoid is an open subset of the frame category, consisting of all invertible elements. The map $\Delta : G \to \mathcal{C}(E)$ is smooth since D is continuous, but in general Δ will not respect composition. This point motivates the following notion of *quasi-action* [1].

Definition 2.4. A *quasi-action* of G on E is a smooth map $\Delta : G \to \mathcal{C}(E)$ that respects source and target maps.

Definition 2.5. A quasi-action Δ is called

1. *unital* if $\Delta_{1_x} = \mathrm{id}$ for all $x \in M$,
2. *flat* if $\Delta_{g_1}\Delta_{g_2} = \Delta_{g_1 g_2}$ for all $(g_1, g_2) \in G^{(2)}$.

A flat and unital quasi-action is the same thing as a representation. In particular, if both conditions in Definition 2.5 hold, then the image of Δ is inside the frame groupoid of E.

Example 2.6. To illustrate the notion of quasi-action, we give an example where $G = S^2 \times S^2 \rightrightarrows S^2$ is the pair groupoid and $E = TS^2$. Given $(y, x) \in S^2 \times S^2$, we define a map $\Delta_{(y,x)} : T_xS^2 \to T_yS^2$ as follows. Equip S^2 with the standard spherical metric, where the distance between two antipodal points is π. If x and y are antipodal, then $\Delta_{(y,x)}$ is the zero map. Otherwise, $\Delta_{(y,x)}$ is given by parallel transport along the shortest geodesic from x to y, together with scalar multiplication by a factor of $1 - \frac{1}{\pi}d(x, y)$, where d is the distance function. The scaling factor ensures that the map $\Delta : (y, x) \mapsto \Delta_{(y,x)}$ is smooth at antipodal pairs. The quasi-action Δ is unital but not flat.

---

[1] A Lie category is defined in the same way as a Lie groupoid, except without an inverse map.

We use this example to emphasize some points about quasi-actions:

- The definition of quasi-action allows for the possibility of Δ_g being degenerate, as is the case for antipodal pairs in the above example. This point is crucial, since if we required every Δ_g to be nondegenerate (equivalently, if we required the image of Δ to be in the frame groupoid), then there would be no examples in the case where $G = S^2 \times S^2$ and $E = TS^2$, since such an example would imply the existence of a trivialization of TS^2.
- The above example illustrates the general fact (which we will see in Example 3.15) that unital quasi-actions always exist for arbitrary G and E. On the other hand, unital quasi-actions in general (and this example in particular) are not canonical. For example, we can obtain other unital quasi-actions of $S^2 \times S^2$ on TS^2 by replacing the above scaling factor by any smooth function $f(y, x)$ on $S^2 \times S^2$ that equals 1 when $y = x$ and 0 when x and y are antipodal, such as a bump function supported on a neighborhood of the diagonal submanifold $\{(x, x)\}$.

So far, we have seen that, given a continuous degree 1 operator D on $C(G; E)$ satisfying (2.4), we can obtain a quasi-action Δ defined by (2.5). The following lemma expresses in terms of D the conditions for Δ to be unital and flat.

Lemma 2.7. *Let D be a continuous degree 1 operator on $C(G; E)$ satisfying (2.4), and let Δ be the quasi-action given by (2.5). Then*

1. *Δ is flat if and only if $D^2 = 0$.*
2. *Δ is unital if and only if D preserves the space of normalized cochains.*

Proof. It follows from (2.4) that D^2 is a $C(G)$-module endomorphism of $C(G; E)$. By Proposition 2.3, we then have that D^2 vanishes if and only if it vanishes on all $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$. A direct computation shows $(D^2\varepsilon)(g_1, g_2) = (\Delta_{g_1}\Delta_{g_2} - \Delta_{g_1 g_2})\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}$, which vanishes for all $(g_1, g_2) \in G^{(2)}$ and $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$ if and only if Δ is flat.

For the second statement, we may again use (2.4) to conclude that D preserves the space of normalized cochains if and only if $D\varepsilon$ is normalized for all $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$. The result follows by setting $g = 1_x$ in (2.5). □

The following theorem ties together the results from this section and §2.3.

Theorem 2.8. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between representations of G on E and continuous degree 1 operators D on $C(G; E)$ satisfying (2.4), preserving the space of normalized cochains, and such that $D^2 = 0$.*

2.5. Representations up to homotopy.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus E_i$ be a graded vector bundle over M. We consider $C(G; \mathcal{E})$ to be a graded right $C(G)$-module with respect to the total grading:

$$C(G; \mathcal{E})^p = \bigoplus_{q-r=p} C^q(G; E_r).$$

If one considers the notion of groupoid representation from the point of view of Theorem 2.8, then there is a natural extension to the case of graded vector bundles, giving the following definition.

Definition 2.9. A *representation up to homotopy* of G on a graded vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is a continuous degree 1 operator $\mathcal{D}$ on $C(G; \mathcal{E})$ satisfying (2.4), preserving the space of normalized cochains, and such that $\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$.

We remark that this definition of representation up to homotopy agrees with that of *unital* representation up to homotopy in [1].

There is a natural quotient map $\mu : C(G; \mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ whose kernel is spanned by all $C^q(G; E_r)$ where $q > 0$.

Definition 2.10. A *gauge transformation* of $C(G;\mathcal{E})$ is a degree-preserving $C(G)$-module automorphism T of $C(G;\mathcal{E})$, preserving the space of normalized cochains, such that $\mu \circ T = \mu$. Under a gauge transformation, a representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{D}$ transforms as $\mathcal{D}' = T \circ \mathcal{D} \circ T^{-1}$. In this case, we say that $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ are *gauge-equivalent.*

Gauge-equivalent representations up to homotopy are isomorphic in the sense of [1], but the notion of gauge-equivalence is slightly different from that of isomorphism. Specifically, the condition involving μ in Definition 2.10 serves the purpose of restricting attention to isomorphisms that "cover" the identity map on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$.

In the case where $\mathcal{E} = E$ is concentrated in degree 0, a representation up to homotopy is the same thing as a representation, and there are no nontrivial gauge transformations.

2.6. **Transformation cochains.** In this section, we describe another cohomological object that will be useful in our analysis of 2-term representations up to homotopy in §2.7.

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, and let E and C be vector bundles over M. We define the space of *transformation p-cochains* from E to C as $C^p(G; E \to C) := \Gamma\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left((\pi_p^p)^*E, (\pi_0^p)^*C\right)\right)$, where $\pi_p^p : G^{(p)} \to M$ is the identity for $p = 0$ and is given by $\pi_p^p(g_1, \dots, g_p) = s(g_p)$ for $p > 0$. In other words, if $\omega \in C^p(G; E \to C)$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_p) \in G^{(p)}$, then $\omega_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)}$ is a linear map from $E_{s(g_p)}$ to $C_{t(g_1)}$.

We emphasize that $C^p(G; E \to C)$ is not the same thing as the space of p-cochains with values in $\operatorname{Hom}(E, C)$.

Let $\omega \in C^p(G; E \to C)$, and let $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E) = C^0(G; E)$. Define a p-cochain $\widehat{\omega}(\varepsilon) \in C^p(G; C)$ by

$$\widehat{\omega}(\varepsilon)(g_1, \dots, g_p) = \omega_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)}(\varepsilon_{s(g_p)}) \in C_{t(g_1)}. \tag{2.6}$$

The following Lemma implies that the map $\varepsilon \mapsto \widehat{\omega}(\varepsilon)$ can be extended to a $C(G)$-module morphism $\widehat{\omega} : C^\bullet(G; E) \to C^{\bullet+p}(G; C)$.

Lemma 2.11. *$\widehat{\omega}(\varepsilon \star f) = \widehat{\omega}(\varepsilon) \star f$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M) = C^0(G)$.*

Proof. Since ε is a 0-cochain, the action of f on ε coincides with scalar multiplication: $\varepsilon \star f = f\varepsilon$. The result then follows from the linearity of $\omega_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)}$. □

Proposition 2.12. *The map $\omega \mapsto \widehat{\omega}$ is an isomorphism from $C^p(G; E \to C)$ to the space of $C(G)$-module morphisms from $C^\bullet(G; E)$ to $C^{\bullet+p}(G; C)$.*

Proof. Any $C(G)$-module morphism $\widehat{\omega} : C^\bullet(G; E) \to C^{\bullet+p}(G; C)$ is completely determined by its restriction to $\Gamma(E)$. By virtue of the property in Lemma 2.11, $\omega(\varepsilon)(g_1, \dots, g_p)$ only depends on $(g_1, \dots, g_p)$ and $\varepsilon_{s(g_p)}$. Therefore, we can recover a transformation cochain $\omega \in C^p(E \to C)$, given by (2.6). □

Suppose that G is equipped with representations Δ^E and Δ^C on E and C, respectively. Then we may define a differential on $C(G; E \to C)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(D\omega)(g_0, \dots, g_p) =& \Delta^C_{g_0} \circ \omega(g_1, \dots, g_p) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} (-1)^i \omega(g_0, \dots, g_{i-1}g_i, \dots, g_p) \\ &+ (-1)^{p+1} \omega(g_0, \dots, g_{p-1}) \circ \Delta^E_{g_p}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Via the isomorphism of Proposition 2.12, this differential is equivalently given by

$$\widehat{D\omega} = D^C \circ \widehat{\omega} + (-1)^{p+1} \widehat{\omega} \circ D^E,$$

where D^C and D^E are the differentials on $C(G; C)$ and $C(G; E)$, respectively, corresponding to the two representations.

2.7. **Representations up to homotopy: 2-term case.** In this paper, we will be concerned primarily with representations up to homotopy on graded vector bundles that are concentrated in degrees 0 and 1. In this case, we use the notation $\mathcal{E} = E \oplus C[1]$, where E is the degree 0 part and C is the degree 1 part. Then

$$C(G; E \oplus C[1])^p = C^p(G; E) \oplus C^{p+1}(G; C).$$

Any degree 1 operator $\mathcal{D}$ on $C(G; E \oplus C[1])$ decomposes as the sum of the following four homogeneous components:

$$\begin{aligned} D^C &: C^\bullet(G; C) \to C^{\bullet+1}(G; C), \\ D^E &: C^\bullet(G; E) \to C^{\bullet+1}(G; E), \\ \widehat{\partial} &: C^\bullet(G; C) \to C^\bullet(G; E), \\ \widehat{\Omega} &: C^\bullet(G; E) \to C^{\bullet+2}(G; C). \end{aligned}$$

The Leibniz rule (2.4) for $\mathcal{D}$ is equivalent to the requirements that

1. D^C and D^E satisfy (2.4), and
2. $\widehat{\partial}$ and $\widehat{\Omega}$ are right $C(G)$-module morphisms.

Requirement (1) allows us to define quasi-actions Δ^C and Δ^E on C and E, respectively, via the following graded versions of (2.5):

$$\Delta^C_g \alpha = -(D^C\alpha)(g) + \alpha_{t(g)} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\Delta^E_g \varepsilon = (D^E\varepsilon)(g) + \varepsilon_{t(g)} \tag{2.9}$$

for $\alpha \in \Gamma(C)$ and $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$. The reason for the sign difference between (2.8) and (2.9) is that the Leibniz rule now incorporates the vector bundle grading.

Requirement (2) above implies (via Proposition 2.12) that $\widehat{\partial}$ corresponds to a linear map $\partial \in \mathrm{Hom}(C, E) = C^0(G; C \to E)$, and that $\widehat{\Omega}$ corresponds to a transformation 2-cochain $\Omega \in C^2(G; E \to C)$.

Next, we will express equation $\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$ and the property of preserving normalized cochains in terms of Δ^C, Δ^E, ∂, and Ω.

The equation $\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$ decomposes into the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} D^E\widehat{\partial} + \widehat{\partial}D^C &= 0, \\ (D^C)^2 + \widehat{\Omega}\widehat{\partial} &= 0, \\ (D^E)^2 + \widehat{\partial}\widehat{\Omega} &= 0, \\ D^C\widehat{\Omega} + \widehat{\Omega}D^E &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These equations respectively translate into the following equations:

$$\Delta^E_{g_1}\partial - \partial\Delta^C_{g_1} = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\Delta^C_{g_1}\Delta^C_{g_2} - \Delta^C_{g_1g_2} + \Omega_{g_1,g_2}\partial = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\Delta^E_{g_1}\Delta^E_{g_2} - \Delta^E_{g_1g_2} + \partial\Omega_{g_1,g_2} = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\Delta^C_{g_1}\Omega_{g_2,g_3} - \Omega_{g_1g_2,g_3} + \Omega_{g_1,g_2g_3} - \Omega_{g_1,g_2}\Delta^E_{g_3} = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

for $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in G^{(3)}$. Equation (2.10) says that g_1 acts by a chain morphism Δ_{g_1} on the 2-term complex $C \overset{\partial}{\to} E$. Equations (2.11)–(2.12) say that Ω_{g_1,g_2} provides a chain homotopy from $\Delta_{g_1}\Delta_{g_2}$ to $\Delta_{g_1g_2}$. Equation (2.13) is a Bianchi-type identity. If Δ^C and Δ^E were representations, then (2.13) would mean that Ω is closed with respect to the differential given by (2.7).

The total operator $\mathcal{D}$ preserves normalized cochains if and only if all of the four components do. For ∂, the property is automatic. For the remaining three components, we obtain the following conditions:

$$\Delta^C \text{ and } \Delta^E \text{ are unital,} \tag{2.14}$$

$$\Omega \text{ is normalized.} \tag{2.15}$$

The following theorem summarizes the results from this section:

Theorem 2.13. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between representations up to homotopy on a* 2*-term graded vector bundle* $E \oplus C[1]$ *and* 4*-tuples* $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, \partial, \Omega)$*, where*

- Δ^C *and* Δ^E *are unital quasi-actions on* C *and* E*, respectively,*
- $\partial : C \to E$ *is a linear map, and*
- Ω *is a normalized element of* $C^2(G; E \to C)$*,*

satisfying (2.10)–(2.13)*.*

2.8. **Gauge transformations in the 2-term case.** Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, and let $E \oplus C[1]$ be a 2-term graded vector bundle. Given a normalized transformation 1-cochain $\sigma \in C^1(G; E \to C)$, we can construct a gauge-equivalence as follows. The associated operator $\widehat{\sigma} : C^\bullet(G; E) \to C^{\bullet+1}(G; C)$ may be viewed as a degree 0 operator on $C(G; E \oplus C[1])$. Clearly, $\widehat{\sigma}^2 = 0$, so the map $1 + \widehat{\sigma}$ is invertible with inverse $1 - \widehat{\sigma}$. One can easily see that $1 + \widehat{\sigma}$ is a gauge transformation. The converse is also true:

Proposition 2.14. *Every gauge transformation of* $C(G; E \oplus C[1])$ *is of the form* $1 + \widehat{\sigma}$ *for some normalized* $\sigma \in C^1(G; E \to C)$*.*

Proof. Let $T : C(G; E \oplus C[1]) \to C(G; E \oplus C[1])$ be a gauge transformation. Since T is a $C(G)$-module automorphism, it is completely determined by its action on the 0-cochain spaces $\Gamma(E)$ and $\Gamma(C)$. Since T is degree-preserving, it sends $\Gamma(C)$ to $\Gamma(C)$ and $\Gamma(E)$ to $\Gamma(E) \oplus C^1(G; C)$. The condition $\mu \circ T = \mu$ implies that the action of T on $\Gamma(C)$ is the identity, and that $T(\varepsilon) - \varepsilon$ is in $\ker \mu$ (and therefore must be in $C^1(G; C)$) for $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$. Hence, the map $T - 1$ is a $C(G)$-module morphism taking $C^\bullet(G; E)$ to $C^{\bullet+1}(G; C)$ and can be identified via Proposition 2.12 with $\widehat{\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in C^1(G; E \to C)$. Since T preserves the space of normalized cochains, so does $\widehat{\sigma}$, implying that σ is normalized. □

We will study how 2-term representations up to homotopy transform under gauge transformations in §5.3.

3. $\mathcal{VB}$-GROUPOIDS

In this section, we review the various equivalent definitions of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, the construction of the core of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, and the notion of horizontal lift. Most of the material in §§3.1-3.2 can be found elsewhere (for example, [13]), but we hope that the reader will find our presentation valuable. In §3.3, we present the formulas for the components of the representation up to homotopy arising from the choice of a horizontal lift.

3.1. **Definitions.** Consider a commutative diagram of Lie groupoids and vector bundles as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Gamma \arrow[r, "\widetilde{q}"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\widetilde{s}"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\widetilde{t}"] & G \arrow[d, shift right, "s"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "t"] \\ E \arrow[r, "q"'] & M \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1}$$

By this we mean that $\Gamma \rightrightarrows E$ is a Lie groupoid (with source, target, multiplication, identity, and inverse maps $\widetilde{s}$, $\widetilde{t}$, $\widetilde{m}$, $\widetilde{1}$, and $\widetilde{\iota}$), $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid (with source, target, multiplication, identity, and inverse maps s, t, m, 1, and ι), $\Gamma \to G$ is a vector bundle (with projection map and zero section $\widetilde{q}$ and $\widetilde{0}$), $E \to M$ is a vector bundle (with projection map and zero section q and 0) and such that $q\widetilde{s} = s\widetilde{q}$ and $q\widetilde{t} = t\widetilde{q}$. For the rest of this subsection we will always start with this data.

Definition 3.1. A *$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid* is a commutative diagram of Lie groupoids and vector bundles like (3.1) such that the following conditions hold:

1. $(\widetilde{s}, s)$ is a morphism of vector bundles.
2. $(\widetilde{t}, t)$ is a morphism of vector bundles.
3. $(\widetilde{q}, q)$ is a morphism of Lie groupoids.
4. *Interchange law:*
$$(\gamma_1 + \gamma_3)(\gamma_2 + \gamma_4) = \gamma_1\gamma_2 + \gamma_3\gamma_4$$
for any $\gamma_j \in \Gamma$ for which the equation makes sense; specifically, for any $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma^{(2)}$, $(\gamma_3, \gamma_4) \in \Gamma^{(2)}$ such that $\widetilde{q}(\gamma_1) = \widetilde{q}(\gamma_3)$ and $\widetilde{q}(\gamma_2) = \widetilde{q}(\gamma_4)$.

Example 3.2. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be any Lie groupoid and take $\Gamma = TG$, $E = TM$. Then (3.1) is a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid where $\widetilde{s} = Ts$, $\widetilde{t} = Tt$, $\widetilde{m} = Tm$, et cetera. This is the tangent prolongation $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid which, as we will later see, plays the role of the "adjoint representation" of G.

On the surface, our definition of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid appears different from the usual ones (e.g. [9, 13]). In what follows, we will show that the various definitions are equivalent. More precisely, we will see that the conditions in Definition 3.1 are equivalent to the requirement that $\Gamma \to G$ be a "Lie-groupoid object in the category of vector bundles" or, equivalently, that $\Gamma \rightrightarrows E$ be a "vector-bundle object in the category of Lie groupoids".

First of all, we remark that the usual definition includes the following technical condition. Consider the manifold $E \times_s G := s^*E = \{(e, g) \in E \times G \mid q(e) = s(g)\}$ and the map

$$\begin{aligned} p^R : \Gamma \to \quad & E \times_s G = s^*E \\ \gamma \mapsto & \ (\widetilde{s}(\gamma), \widetilde{q}(\gamma)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The technical condition is that p^R is required to be a surjective submersion. However, Li-Bland and Severa showed in Appendix A of [7] that this condition follows from the rest of the definition. Specifically, if Γ is a commutative diagram of vector bundles and Lie algebroids like (3.1) and Condition 1 in Definition 3.1 is satisfied, then the map p^R is automatically a surjective submersion.

Next, at the risk of being pendantic, we recall the notions of vector-bundle morphism and Lie-groupoid morphism:

- A vector-bundle morphism between two vector bundles $q_i : E_i \to M_i$, $i = 1, 2$, is a pair of smooth maps $F : E_1 \to E_2$ and $f : M_1 \to M_2$ such that $q_2 \circ F = f \circ q_1$ and F respects addition on the fibers; it then *follows* from this that F respects scalar multiplication and that (F, f) respects the zero section.
- A Lie-groupoid morphism between two Lie groupoids $G_i \rightrightarrows M_i$, $i = 1, 2$, is a pair of smooth maps $F : G_1 \to G_2$ and $f : M_1 \to M_2$ such that $s_2 \circ F = f \circ s_1$, $t_2 \circ F = f \circ t_1$, and F respects groupoid multiplication; it then *follows* from this that F respects taking inverses and that (F, f) respects the identity map.

We now may explicity describe the conditions entailed by the terms "Lie-groupoid object in the category of vector bundles" and "vector-bundle object in the category of Lie groupoids", as follows.

Definition 3.3. A *Lie-groupoid object in the category of vector bundles* is a commutative diagram of Lie groupoids and vector bundles like (3.1) such that

1. $(\widetilde{s}, s)$ is a morphism of vector bundles.
2. $(\widetilde{t}, t)$ is a morphism of vector bundles.

(3) $\Gamma^{(2)} \to G^{(2)}$ is a vector bundle with the natural structure.
(4) $(\widetilde{m}, m)$ is a morphism of vector bundles.

Condition 3 is necessary to make sense of Condition 4: the domain of the multiplication maps $(\widetilde{m}, m)$ is $\Gamma^{(2)} \to G^{(2)}$, which we require to be a vector bundle. Each fiber of $\Gamma^{(2)} \to G^{(2)}$ has a natural vector space structure thanks to Conditions 1 and 2, so only local trivializability is required to satisfy Condition 3. Note that the conditions of Definition 3.3 imply that the identity $(\widetilde{1}, 1)$ and inverse $(\widetilde{\iota}, \iota)$ maps are morphisms of vector bundles.

Definition 3.4. A *vector-bundle object in the category of Lie groupoids* is a commutative diagram of Lie groupoids and vector bundles like (3.1) such that

(1) $(\widetilde{q}, q)$ is a morphism of Lie groupoids.
(2) $\Gamma\,{}_{\widetilde{q}}\!\times_{\widetilde{q}} \Gamma \rightrightarrows E\,{}_q\!\times_q E$ is a Lie groupoid with the natural structure.
(3) The addition maps $(+,+)$ are a morphism of Lie groupoids.

Condition 2 is neccesary to make sense of Condition 3: the domain of the addition map $(+,+)$ is $\Gamma\,{}_{\widetilde{q}}\!\times_{\widetilde{q}} \Gamma \rightrightarrows E\,{}_q\!\times_q E$, which we require to be a Lie groupoid. There is a natural groupoid structure on $\Gamma\,{}_{\widetilde{q}}\!\times_{\widetilde{q}} \Gamma \rightrightarrows E\,{}_q\!\times_q E$ thanks to Condition 1, and all the maps involved are smooth, so we only need to check that the source (or target) map is a submersion in order to satisfy Condition 2 (that is, to satisfy that the groupoid is actually a *Lie* groupoid). Note that the conditions of Definition 3.4 imply that the scalar multiplication maps and the zero sections are morphisms of Lie groupoids.

Definitions 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4 are equivalent per the next proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *Consider a commutative diagram of Lie groupoids and vector bundles like* (3.1)*. Then the following are equivalent:*

- Γ *is a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid,*
- Γ *is a "Lie-groupoid object in the category of vector bundles",*
- Γ *is a "vector-bundle object in the category of Lie groupoids".*

Proof. In Lemma 3.14 below we will show that the property of p^R being a submersion implies that Condition 3 in Definition 3.3 and Condition 2 in Definition 3.4 are satisfied.

Next, we notice that Conditions 3 and 4 in Definition 3.1 are equivalent to Condition 4 in Definition 3.3, and that Conditions 1, 2, and 4 in Definition 3.1 are equivalent to Condition 3 in Definition 3.4. This is shown by writing down each condition as a commutative diagram. This completes the proof. □

Finally, we note the following identities which are satisfied by $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids:

$$\widetilde{0}_{g_1}\widetilde{0}_{g_2} = \widetilde{0}_{g_1 g_2}, \qquad \widetilde{0}_{1_x} = \widetilde{1}_{0_x},$$

for all $(g_1, g_2) \in G^{(2)}$ and all $x \in M$. We will use these identities without reference throughout the remainder of the paper.

3.2. Cores and decompositions of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid.

3.2.1. *The right-core.* Let Γ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in (3.1). Notice that $s^*E = E \times_s G \to G$ is a vector bundle, and that p^R, as defined in (3.2), is a morphism of vector bundles which is the identity on the base manifold G. Since p^R is a surjective submersion, its kernel is a vector bundle.

Definition 3.6. The kernel of p^R, which we will denote $V^R \to G$, is the *right-vertical subbundle* of $\Gamma \to G$. Equivalently, for every $g \in G$, the fiber V^R_g is the kernel of $\widetilde{s}_g : \Gamma_g \to E_{s(g)}$. Elements in V^R are called *right-vertical* elements of Γ.

Definition 3.7. The *right-core* of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (3.1) is $C^R := 1^*(V^R)$, that is, the pullback of $V^R \to G$ by the identity map $1 : M \to G$. Thus, for each $x \in M$, $C^R_x = V^R_{1_x} \subseteq \Gamma_{1_x}$.

We emphasize that that $C^R \to M$ is a vector bundle, and that it can be canonically identified with the restriction of V^R to the units of G.

For any $(g_1, g_2) \in G^{(2)}$, right-multiplication by $\widetilde{0}_{g_2}$ produces a linear isomorphism from $V^R_{g_1}$ to $V^R_{g_1 g_2}$, with inverse map given by right-multiplication by $\widetilde{0}_{g_2^{-1}}$. In particular, for any $g \in G$, right-multiplication by $\widetilde{0}_g$ produces a linear isomorphism from $C^R_{t(g)}$ to V^R_g. Hence, we have a natural isomorphism of vector bundles over G between V^R and $t^*(C^R) = C^R \times_t G$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned} j^R : \ t^*(C^R) = C^R \times_t G &\longrightarrow V^R \subseteq \Gamma \\ (c, g) \quad &\mapsto \quad c \cdot \widetilde{0}_g \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Now consider the following short exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$\begin{tikzcd} t^*(C^R) \arrow[r, "j^R"] \arrow[d] & \Gamma \arrow[r, "p^R"] \arrow[d] & s^*E \arrow[d] \\ G \arrow[r, "="] & G \arrow[r, "="] & G \end{tikzcd} , \tag{3.4}$$

where p^R and j^R are defined by Equations (3.2) and (3.3) respectively. We will refer to (3.4) as the *right-core s.e.s.* of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ.

We recall that a section of (3.4) is a morphism of vector bundles $h : s^*E \to \Gamma$ such that $h \circ p^R = \mathrm{id}$. Such a section produces a splitting, that is, an isomorphism of vector bundles $\Gamma \to s^*E \oplus t^*(C^R)$. In particular, for every $g \in G$ we get an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_g &\xrightarrow{\sim} C^R_{t(g)} \oplus E_{s(g)}, \\ \gamma &\mapsto \quad (\gamma^V, \gamma^H) \\ c \cdot \widetilde{0}_g + h_g(e) &\leftarrow\!\shortmid \quad (c, e) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $h_g(e) := h(e, g)$. Given a choice of such a splitting, the image of h_g is a complement to the right-vertical subspace of Γ_g. We will refer to vectors in this subspace as *right-horizontal*. We emphasize that the right-vertical subbundle is canonical whereas the right-horizontal subbundle depends on a choice. However, when g is a unit, there *is* a canonical choice of complement:

$$\Gamma_{1_x} = C^R_x \oplus \widetilde{1}(E_x) \text{ for all } x \in M.$$

We will restrict our attention to splittings whose restriction to the units coincides with the canonical splitting:

Definition 3.8. A *right-horizontal lift* of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (3.1) is a section $h : s^*E \to \Gamma$ of its right-core s.e.s. such that

$$h(e, 1_x) = \widetilde{1}_e \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } e \in E_x. \tag{3.6}$$

A *right-decomposition* of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (3.1) is a splitting of its right-core s.e.s. which comes from a right-horizontal lift.

Naturally, there is a one-to-one correspondence between right-decompositions and right-horizontal lifts of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid. A standard partition-of-unity argument shows that right-horizontal lifts always exist (albeit noncanonically).

Example 3.9. For the tangent prolongation $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid TG, the right-core consists of vectors at units of G that are tangent to the s-fibers. Thus, in this case the right-core is the Lie algebroid A of G. The short exact sequence (3.4) in this case is

$$t^*(A) \to TG \to s^*(TM), \tag{3.7}$$

where the first map is given by right-translation and the second map is push-forward by s. A right-horizontal lift of TG is the same thing as an Ehresmann connection on G, in the sense of [1].

3.2.2. *The left-core.* There are analogous notions of left-vertical bundle, left-core, left-decomposition, and left-horizontal lift, obtained by exchanging the roles of "source" and "target" in the corresponding "right-" concepts (as well as substituting "left-multiplication" for "right-multiplication"). Consider the map p^L defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} p^L : \Gamma &\to t^*E = E \times_t G \\ \gamma &\mapsto \ (\widetilde{t}(\gamma), \widetilde{q}(\gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

It is a surjective submersion and a morphism of vector bundles over G just like p^R is. The *left-vertical subbundle* of Γ, denoted by $V^L \to G$ is the core of p^L. Equivalently, for every $g \in G$, the fiber V^L_g is the kernel of $\widetilde{t}_g : \Gamma_g \to E_{t(g)}$. The *left-core* of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ is the pull-back $C^L \to M$ of $V^L \to G$ by the identity map $1 : M \to G$. Then V^L is naturally isomorphic to $s^*(C^L)$ via

$$\begin{aligned} j^L :\ s^*(C^L) = C^L \times_s G &\longrightarrow V^L \subseteq \Gamma \\ (c, g) \quad &\mapsto \quad \widetilde{0}_g \cdot c \end{aligned}$$

The *left-core s.e.s.* of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ is the following short exact sequence of vector bundles over G:

$$\begin{tikzcd} s^*(C^L) \arrow[r, "j^L"] \arrow[d] & \Gamma \arrow[r, "p^L"] \arrow[d] & t^*E \arrow[d] \\ G \arrow[r, "="] & G \arrow[r, "="] & G \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.8}$$

A *left-horizontal lift* of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ is a section of this short exact sequence satisfying (3.6). A *left-decomposition* is a splitting $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} s^*(C^L) \oplus_G t^*E$ corresponding to a left-horizontal lift. In particular, a left-decomposition produces an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_g \xrightarrow{\sim} C^L_{s(g)} \oplus E_{t(g)}.$$

for every $g \in \Gamma$.

Example 3.10. For the tangent prolongation $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid TG, the left-core consists of vectors at units of G that are tangent to the t-fibers, so in this case the left-core can also be interpreted as the Lie algebroid of G. The left-core and right-core for TG correspond to the two models for the Lie algebroid of G, defined via left- and right-invariant vector fields, respectively.

3.2.3. *Two cores, one heart.* The left-core and the right-core of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ are different, but there is a canonical isomorphism between them.

Proposition 3.11. *The involution*

$$F :\ \gamma \in \Gamma \ \mapsto\ -\gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma$$

restricts to a vector-bundle isomorphism between the right-core $C^R \to M$ and the left-core $C^L \to M$ which is the identity on the base M. More specifically, the map

$$c \in C^R \ \mapsto\ -c^{-1} = c - \widetilde{1}_{\widetilde{t}(c)} \in C^L \tag{3.9}$$

is an isomorphism with inverse

$$c \in C^L \ \mapsto\ -c^{-1} = c - \widetilde{1}_{\widetilde{s}(c)} \in C^R. \tag{3.10}$$

The formula for the inverse in (3.9) can be proven by verifying directly that $(\widetilde{1}_{\widetilde{t}(c)} - c) \cdot c = \widetilde{1}_{\widetilde{t}(c)}$, and similarly for the formula in (3.10). We will abuse notation and use F to refer to the restriction of F to either C^L or C^R. Later in the paper, we will use the map F to transform expressions involving one core into those involving the other.

The following examples provide some explanation for the minus sign in the definition of F.

Example 3.12. A vector bundle is a particular case of a Lie groupoid. A $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid like (3.1) where $\Gamma \rightrightarrows E$ and $G \rightrightarrows M$ are vector bundles is the same thing as a *double vector bundle* (see, for instance [13]). In this case, the left-core and the right-core are the same and they agree with the notion of core of a double vector bundle. The isomorphism F is the identity map.

Example 3.13. Consider the tangent prolongation groupoid TG in the case where M is a point (so that G is a Lie group). In this case, the left-core and the right-core are both equal to the tangent space at the identity of G, and the isomorphism F is the identity map.

There is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between left- and right-horizontal lifts (and hence between left- and right-decompositions). Explicitly, let $h : E \times_t G \to \Gamma$ be a section of (3.4). We can associate a section $h' : E \times_s G \to \Gamma$ of (3.8) by

$$h'(e,g) = \big(h(e,g^{-1})\big)^{-1}$$

Hence, whenever we choose, say, a right-decomposition for a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, we will automatically get an associated left-decomposition, right-horizontal lift, and left-horizontal lift.

In the remainder of the paper, we will sometimes drop the "right-" prefix and refer to the right-core as simply "core", which we will denote by C. When we need to use both cores and emphasize their difference we will keep the original notation. We will do the same for right-decompositions, right-horizontal lifts, et cetera.

3.2.4. *Proof of technical conditions.* We shall now prove the following lemma, which completes the proof of Proposition 3.5.

Lemma 3.14. *Every $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid sastisfies Condition 3 in Definition 3.3 and Condition 2 in Definition 3.4.*

Proof. First, we choose a right-decomposition and its corresponding left-decomposition, so that we have isomosphisms $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} s^*(C^L) \oplus t^*E$ and $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} t^*(C^R) \oplus s^*E$ of vector bundles with base G. These isomorphisms allow us to decompose $\Gamma^{(2)}$ as

$$\Gamma^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi_0^2)^*(C^R) \oplus_{G^{(2)}} (\pi_1^2)^*E \oplus_{G^{(2)}} (\pi_2^2)^*(C^L),$$

where $\pi_0^2, \pi_1^2, \pi_0^2 : G^{(2)} \to M$ are the three vertex maps given by $\pi_0^2(g_1,g_2) = t(g_1)$, $\pi_1^2(g_1,g_2) = s(g_1) = t(g_2)$, and $\pi_2^2(g_1,g_2) = s(g_2)$. This shows that $\Gamma^{(2)} \to G^{(2)}$ is a vector bundle, which is Condition 3 in Definition 3.3.

Next, we observe that Condition 2 in Definition 3.4 reduces to checking that the source map of the groupoid $\Gamma\,{}_{\widetilde{q}}\!\times_{\widetilde{q}}\Gamma \rightrightarrows E\,{}_q\!\times_q E$ is a submersion. This source map can be written as the following composition:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Gamma\,{}_{\widetilde{q}}\!\times_{\widetilde{q}}\Gamma & \longrightarrow & E\,{}_q\!\times_s G\,{}_{\mathrm{id}}\!\times_{\widetilde{q}}\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E\,{}_q\!\times_{q\widetilde{s}}\Gamma & \longrightarrow & E\,{}_q\!\times_q E \\
(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) & \mapsto & (\widetilde{s}(\gamma_1), \widetilde{q}(\gamma_1), \gamma_2) & \mapsto & (\widetilde{s}(\gamma_1), \gamma_2) & \mapsto & (\widetilde{s}(\gamma_1), \widetilde{s}(\gamma_2))
\end{array}$$

The first map in this composition is the fibered product of p^R and the identity, the second map is an isomorphism, the third map is the fibered product of the identity and $\widetilde{q}$. Hence they are all submersions, and so is their composition. This completes the proof. ☐

3.3. **How to obtain a representation up to homotopy from a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid.** Let Γ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in (3.1). In this section, we give formulas and some geometric explanation for the components $\partial, \Delta^C, \Delta^E, \Omega$ that correspond (via Theorem 2.13) to a representation up to homotopy of G on the 2-term graded vector bundle $E \oplus C[1]$. The formulas for the components (and hence the representation up to homotopy) depend on the choice of a (right-)horizontal lift h.

Although it is possible to check conditions (2.10)–(2.15) directly, we will not do so. Instead, we will later see in §5 that there is a *canonically* defined complex that, given a choice of horizontal lift, can be identified with $C(G; E \oplus C[1])$. In Appendix A, we show that the formulas for ∂, Δ^C, Δ^E, and Ω can be derived by transferring the differential from the canonical complex to $C(G; E \oplus C[1])$ and decomposing into homogeneous components. From this point of view, we will be able to conclude by construction that (2.10)–(2.15) hold.

3.3.1. *The core-anchor ∂.* The *core-anchor* is a vector bundle morphism $\partial : C \to E$, given by

$$\partial c = \widetilde{t}(c) \tag{3.11}$$

for $c \in C^R$. The core-anchor is the only component of the representation up to homotopy that can be defined independently of the horizontal lift. The term "core-anchor" arises from the fact that, in the case $\Gamma = TG$, the core is the Lie algebroid A of G, the side bundle is TM, and $\partial = \rho : A \to TM$ is the anchor map.

3.3.2. *The core quasi-action.* The core quasi-action Δ^C is given by

$$\Delta^C_g c = h_g(\widetilde{t}(c)) \cdot c \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g^{-1}} \tag{3.12}$$

for $c \in C^R_{s(g)}$. This may be interpreted as a sort of conjugation action, in the sense that $h_g(\widetilde{t}(c))$ is the unique horizontal element of Γ_g by which c can be left-multiplied, and $\widetilde{0}_{g^{-1}}$ is the unique horizontal element of $\Gamma_{g^{-1}}$ by which c can be right-multiplied. In particular, if c is in $\ker \partial$, then $\Delta^C_g c = \widetilde{0}_g \cdot c \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g^{-1}}$. It is clear from this formula that Δ^C induces a *canonical* representation of G on $\ker \partial$.

In the case $\Gamma = TG$, the right-core C^R corresponds to the "right-invariant vector field" model of the Lie algebroid A of G. In this model, an element $a \in A_{s(g)}$ is a vector in $T_{1_{s(g)}}G$ that is tangent to the s-fiber. Right-translation is well-defined for such vectors, but left-translation is not well-defined unless $a \in \ker \rho$. Equation (3.12) expresses the fact that left-translation by g can be noncanonically defined by choosing a "horizontal" subspace of T_gG that is transverse to the s-fiber. In this case, we recover the well-known fact that G has a canonical representation on $\ker \rho$.

3.3.3. *The side quasi-action.* The side quasi-action Δ^E is given by

$$\Delta^E_g e = \widetilde{t}(h_g(e)) \tag{3.13}$$

for $e \in E_{s(g)}$. Geometrically, (3.13) simply says that e is horizontally lifted to Γ_g and then projected back to E via $\widetilde{t}$. If h' is another horizontal lift, then $h'_g(e) = h_g(e) + c \cdot \widetilde{0}_g$ for some $c \in C^R_{t(g)}$. Then $\widetilde{t}(h'_g(e)) = \widetilde{t}(h_g(e)) + \widetilde{t}(c) = \Delta^E_g e + \partial c$. Thus, although Δ^E depends on the choice of h, it induces a canonical representation on $\operatorname{coker} \partial$.

In the case $\Gamma = TG$, we have $E = TM$, where the action of $g \in G$ on a vector $v \in T_{s(g)}M$ is given by horizontally lifting v to a vector in T_gG and then projecting by Tt. In this case, we recover the well-known fact that G has a canonical representation on $\operatorname{coker} \rho$.

3.3.4. Ω. The 2-cochain Ω measures the failure of the horizontal lift h to be multiplicative. The precise formula is

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{g_1,g_2} e &= \big(h_{g_1g_2}(e) - h_{g_1}(\widetilde{t}(h_{g_2}(e))) \cdot h_{g_2}(e)\big) \cdot \widetilde{0}_{(g_1g_2)^{-1}} \\ &= \big(h_{g_1g_2}(e) - h_{g_1}(\Delta^E_{g_2} e) \cdot h_{g_2}(e)\big) \cdot \widetilde{0}_{(g_1g_2)^{-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

for $(g_1, g_2) \in G^{(2)}$ and $e \in E_{s(g_2)}$. We will say more about the geometric meaning of Ω in the case $\Gamma = TG$ in §7.

3.4. **More examples of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids.** The main example of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid is the tangent prolongation TG, which has already been mentioned many times. In this section, we describe three other examples.

Example 3.15. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle. Then, as a vector bundle, let $\Gamma \to G$ be defined as $\Gamma := t^*E \oplus s^*E$; that is

$$\Gamma = \left\{(e_1, g, e_2) : e_1 \in E_{t(g)},\, e_2 \in E_{s(g)}\right\}.$$

Then Γ is the total space for a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid like (3.1), with source, target, and multiplication maps given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{s}(e_1, g, e_2) &= e_2, \\ \widetilde{t}(e_1, g, e_2) &= e_1, \\ (e_1, g_1, e_2) \cdot (e_2, g_2, e_3) &= (e_1, g_1 g_2, e_3). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $C = E$ and ∂ is the identity. There is a one-to-one correspondence between horizontal lifts h and unital quasi-actions Δ on E given by

$$h_g(e) = (\Delta_g(e), g, e).$$

Given a horizontal lift, the resulting representation up to homotopy has side and core quasi-actions both equal to Δ, with Ω being the "curvature" of Δ, given by

$$\Omega_{(g_1,g_2)} e = \Delta_{g_1 g_2} e - \Delta_{g_1} \Delta_{g_2} e.$$

For the purposes of representation theory, we argue that this example plays the role of the "trivial" representation of G on E, since it contains no additional information beyond the Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ and the vector bundle $E \to M$.

Example 3.16. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle, and let Δ be a representation of G on E. Let $\Gamma := G * E \rightrightarrows E$ be the action groupoid for this representation. That is,

$$\Gamma = s^*E = \left\{(g, e) : e \in E_{s(g)}\right\},$$

and the source, target, and multiplication maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{s}(g, e) &= e, \\ \widetilde{t}(g, e) &= \Delta_g e, \\ (g_1, \Delta_{g_2} e) \cdot (g_2, e) &= (g_1 g_2, e). \end{aligned}$$

Then Γ is the total space of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid like (3.1). In this case, the core C is trivial, and there is a unique horizontal lift. In the resulting representation up to homotopy, the core quasi-action and the operators ∂ and Ω are trivial, and the side quasi-action is exactly the representation Δ.

Conversely, let Γ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid like (3.1) with trivial core. Then the map p^R defined in (3.2) is a bijection, giving a canonical identification of Γ with an action groupoid $G * E$. Hence, there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie groupoid representations and $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids with trivial core. In this manner, we may view the category of Lie groupoid representations as a full subcategory of the category of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids.

Example 3.17. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, let $C \to M$ be a vector bundle, and let Δ be a representation of G on C. Let $\Gamma := C \rtimes G \rightrightarrows M$ be the semidirect product. That is,

$$\Gamma = t^*C = \left\{(c, g) : c \in C_{t(g)}\right\},$$

and the source, target, and multiplication maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{s}(c, g) &= s(g), \\ \widetilde{t}(c, g) &= t(g), \\ (c_1, g_1) \cdot (c_2, g_2) &= (c_1 + \Delta_{g_1} c_2, g_1 g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then Γ is the total space of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid like (3.1), where $E = M$. As in Example 3.16, there is a unique horizontal lift, and in this case the only nontrivial component of the resulting representation up to homotopy is the core quasi-action, which equals Δ.

Similarly to Example 3.16, any $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid with trivial side bundle is canonically a semidirect product $C \rtimes G$ for some representation Δ. This gives a second way to view the category of Lie groupoid representations as a full subcategory of the category of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids.

4. Dual of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid

In this section, we recall the construction of the dual of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid [13, 15]. None of the results in this section are new, but we include them for completeness since the dual construction plays a significant role in the definition of the canonical $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex in §5.

4.1. **The source and target of the dual.** Consider a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ like in (3.1). Let $\Gamma^* \to G$ be the dual vector bundle to $\Gamma \to G$. The $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid dual to (3.1) is of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma^* \arrow[r, "\check{q}"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\check{s}"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\check{t}"] & G \arrow[d, shift right, "s"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "t"] \\
C^* \arrow[r] & M
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.1}$$

where C is the core of Γ.

We describe below the structure maps for the Lie groupoid $\Gamma^* \rightrightarrows C^*$. The reader who is familiar with the duals of a double vector bundle may find similarities in this construction.

First of all, in order to write specific formulas, we need to choose a model for the core. In the remainder, we will take the core C to mean the right-core C^R. We will maintain C^L for the left-core where necessary. The source and target $\check{s}, \check{t} : \Gamma^* \rightrightarrows C^*$ of the dual are defined as follows.

Let $\xi \in \Gamma^*_g$ and let $y := t(g)$. Then $\check{t}(\xi) \in C^*_y$ is given by

$$\langle \check{t}(\xi) \mid c \rangle := \langle \xi \mid c \cdot \widetilde{0}_g \rangle \tag{4.2}$$

for $c \in C_y$. Here and in the following, $\langle \mid \rangle$ denotes the pairing of a vector space and its dual.

Let $\xi \in \Gamma^*_g$ and let $x := s(g)$. Then $\check{s}(\xi) \in C^*_x$ is given by

$$\langle \check{s}(\xi) \mid c \rangle := -\langle \xi \mid \widetilde{0}_g \cdot c^{-1} \rangle \tag{4.3}$$

for $c \in C_x$. The origin of these equations may be explained as follows. Consider (3.4), the left-core s.e.s. of Γ. The target map $\check{t}$ is defined such that the dual short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
s^*(E^*) \arrow[r, "(p^R)^*"] \arrow[d] & \Gamma^* \arrow[r, "(j^R)^*"] \arrow[d] & t^*(C^*) \arrow[d] \\
G \arrow[r, "="] & G \arrow[r, "="] & G
\end{tikzcd}, \tag{4.4}$$

is the right-core s.e.s of Γ^*. This means that $(j^R)^*$ is given by

$$\xi \mapsto (\check{t}(\xi), \check{q}(\xi)) \in C^* \times_t G$$

for $\xi \in \Gamma^*$, and equation (4.2) for the target map $\check{t}$ follows.

Similarly, equation (4.3) is defined such that the left-core s.e.s of Γ^* is dual to the right-core s.e.s (3.8) of Γ. However, since (3.8) is expressed in terms of C^L, it needs to be precomposed with s^*F, where F is the canonical isomorphism between C^L and C defined in (3.9), so that it may be rewritten in terms of the (right)-core C. After precomposing with s^*F, the left-core s.e.s. of Γ becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} s^*C & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & t^*E \\ (c,g) & \mapsto & \widetilde{0}_g \cdot (-c^{-1}) & & \\ & & \gamma & \mapsto & (\widetilde{t}(\gamma), \widetilde{q}(\gamma)). \end{array} \tag{4.5}$$

Equation (4.3) arises from the identification of the dual sequence with the right-core s.e.s. of Γ^*,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} t^*(E^*) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma^* & \longrightarrow & s^*(C^*) \\ & & \xi & \mapsto & (\check{t}(\xi), \check{q}(\xi)). \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

4.2. **The multiplication in the dual.** Defining the source and target maps using short exact sequences guarantees that they will be surjective submersions. Next, we will describe the multiplication map $\check{m} : (\Gamma^*)^{(2)} \to \Gamma^*$.

Let $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in (\Gamma^*)^{(2)}$, where $\xi_i \in \Gamma^*_{g_i}$. Under the assumption that $\check{s}(\xi_1) = \check{t}(\xi_2)$, the product $\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 \in \Gamma^*_{g_1 g_2}$ is given by

$$\langle \xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 \mid \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 \rangle = \langle \xi_1 \mid \gamma_1 \rangle + \langle \xi_2 \mid \gamma_2 \rangle \tag{4.7}$$

for $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_{g_i}$. We note that every element of $\Gamma_{g_1 g_2}$ can be expressed in the form $\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2$, albeit not in a unique way.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume the notation introduced in the previous paragraph. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_1' \in \Gamma_{g_1}$ and $\gamma_2, \gamma_2' \in \Gamma_{g_2}$ such that $\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 = \gamma_1' \cdot \gamma_2'$. Then*

$$\langle \xi_1 \mid \gamma_1 \rangle + \langle \xi_2 \mid \gamma_2 \rangle = \langle \xi_1 \mid \gamma_1' \rangle + \langle \xi_2 \mid \gamma_2' \rangle.$$

In other words, the operation defined by Equation (4.7) *is well-defined.*

Proof. Let $\beta_i := \gamma_i' - \gamma_i$. The statement to be proven may then be written as

$$\langle \xi_1 \mid \beta_1 \rangle + \langle \xi_2 \mid \beta_2 \rangle = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Notice that

$$\widetilde{t}(\beta_1) = \widetilde{t}(\gamma_1') - \widetilde{t}(\gamma_1) = \widetilde{t}(\gamma_1' \cdot \gamma_2') - \widetilde{t}(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2) = 0_{t(g_1)}.$$

Hence we can write $\beta_1 = \widetilde{0}_{g_1} \cdot c_1$ for some $c_1 \in C^L_{s(g_1)}$. Similarly, $\widetilde{s}(\beta_2) = 0_{s(g_2)}$ and we can write $\beta_2 = c_2 \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g_2}$ for some $c_2 \in C^R_{t(g_2)} = C^R_{s(g_1)}$.

Next, we observe that

$$\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 = \gamma_1' \cdot \gamma_2' = (\gamma_1 + \beta_1) \cdot (\gamma_2 + \beta_2) = \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 + \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2,$$

where we have used the interchange law in the last step. It follows that $\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 = \widetilde{0}_{g_1 g_2}$, which implies that $c_1 \cdot c_2 = \widetilde{0}_{1_{s(g_1)}} = \widetilde{1}_{0_{s(g_1)}}$, so that $c_1^{-1} = c_2$.

Finally, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_1 \mid \beta_1 \rangle &= -\langle \xi_1 \mid \widetilde{0}_{g_1} \cdot c_1^{-1} \rangle = -\langle \check{s}(\xi_1) \mid c_1^{-1} \rangle = -\langle \check{t}(\xi_2) \mid c_2 \rangle, \\ \langle \xi_2 \mid \beta_2 \rangle &= \langle \xi_2 \mid c_2 \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g_2} \rangle = \langle \check{t}(\xi_2) \mid c_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.8), as required. □

Proposition 4.2. *The source, target, and multiplication maps defined by* (4.3), (4.2), *and* (4.7) *give $\Gamma^* \rightrightarrows C^*$ a Lie groupoid structure.*

Proof. Associativity is clear from the definition of multiplication in (4.7).

The identity map $\check{1} : C^* \to \Gamma^*$ is defined as follows. Let $\nu \in C^*_x$ for some $x \in M$. Then $\check{1}_\nu \in \Gamma^*_{1_x}$ is given by

$$\langle \check{1}_\nu \mid \gamma \rangle := \langle \nu \mid \gamma - \widetilde{1}_{\widetilde{s}(\gamma)} \rangle$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma_{1_x}$.

The inverse map is defined as follows. Let $\xi \in \Gamma^*_g$. Then $\xi^{-1} \in \Gamma^*_{g^{-1}}$ is given by

$$\langle \xi^{-1} \mid \gamma \rangle := -\langle \xi \mid \gamma^{-1} \rangle$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma_{g^{-1}}$.

We leave the verification of the axioms involving the identity and inverse maps as an exercise for the reader. □

Once we have established that $\Gamma^* \rightrightarrows C^*$ is a Lie groupoid, it follows that (4.1) is a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, since the conditions in Definition 3.1 are immediate from (4.2), (4.3), and (4.7).

Example 4.3. The dual of the tangent prolongation $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid TG of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is the cotangent prolongation $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid $T^*G \rightrightarrows A^*$ of [3]. It seems reasonable to think of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid T^*G as playing the role of the "coadjoint representation" of G.

Example 4.4. The dual of the "trivial" $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid associated to a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ and a vector bundle $E \to M$ (see Example 3.15) is the trivial $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid associated to $G \rightrightarrows M$ and $E^* \to M$.

Example 4.5. An action $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in Example 3.16, corresponding to a representation of G on E, dualizes to a semidirect product $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in Example 3.17, corresponding to the dual representation of G on E^*. Thus, the dualization functor interchanges the two subcategories of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids that can be identified with the category of groupoid representations.

5. $\mathcal{VB}$-GROUPOIDS AND REPRESENTATIONS UP TO HOMOTOPY

In this section, we introduce the canonical $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex, and we show that a choice of horizontal lift induces a decomposition of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex into a 2-term representation up to homotopy. We show that different choices of horizontal lift lead to gauge-equivalent representations up to homotopy. In this sense, we can think of 2-term representations up to homotopy as simply being manifestations of the canonical $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex.

5.1. **$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid cohomology.** Consider a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ as in (3.1) with dual $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ^* as in (4.1). Let $\big(C^\bullet(\Gamma^*), \check{\delta}\big)$ be the complex of smooth groupoid cochains associated to the Lie groupoid $\Gamma^* \rightrightarrows C^*$, where C is the core of Γ. There is a natural subcomplex $C_{\text{lin}}(\Gamma^*)$, whose p-cochains are functions of $(\Gamma^*)^{(p)}$ that are linear over $G^{(p)}$. We call $\big(C^\bullet_{\text{lin}}(\Gamma^*), \check{\delta}\big)$ the complex of *linear cochains* for the dual $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ^*.

Definition 5.1. A linear p-cochain $\varphi \in C^p_{\text{lin}}(\Gamma^*)$ is called *left-projectable* if

$$\varphi(\check{0}_g, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{p-1}) = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\varphi(\check{0}_g \cdot \xi_1, \dots, \xi_p) = \varphi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p) \in (\Gamma^*)^{(p)}$ and $g \in G$ such that $\check{t}(\xi_1) = 0_{s(g)}$.

The condition (5.1) implies that $\varphi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p)$ only depends on ξ_1 and $\check{q}(\xi_i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq p$. The condition (5.2) is a left-invariance condition for the dependence on ξ_1. When $p = 0$, both conditions are vacuous, so the space of left-projectable 0-cochains is $C^0_{\text{lin}}(\Gamma^*) = \Gamma(C)$. The space of left-projectable 1-cochains consists of sections X of Γ that project via $\widetilde{s}$ to a section of E (see Proposition 5.5 below).

It follows directly from the definition of the coboundary operator $\check{\delta}$ that the left-projectable cochains form a subcomplex of $C_{\text{lin}}(\Gamma^*)$. This fact allows us to make the following definition.

Definition 5.2. The *$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex* of Γ is $\big(C^\bullet_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma), \check{\delta}\big)$, where $C^p_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma) \subseteq C^p_{\text{lin}}(\Gamma^*)$ is the space of left-projectable p-cochains. The *$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid cohomology* of Γ is the cohomology of the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex.

Following our premise that the notion of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids in the appropriate generalization of that of Lie groupoid representation, we view $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid cohomology as the corresponding generalization of Lie groupoid cohomology with values in a representation. In particular, for the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids of Examples 3.16 and 3.17 that correspond to genuine representations, the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex can be canonically identified with the complex of representation-valued cochains. For the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids of Example 3.15 that play the role of trivial representations, the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid complex is acyclic.

In §5.2, we will see that a choice of decomposition allows us to identify $C_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma)$ with $C(G; E \oplus C[1])$, and that under this identification the operator $\check{\delta}$ corresponds to a representation up to homotopy of G on the 2-term graded vector bundle $E \oplus C[1]$. For now, we state the following lemma, which says that $C_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma)$, like $C(G; E \oplus C[1])$, is a right $C(G)$-module for which the coboundary operator satisfies the graded Leibiz rule.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\varphi \in C^p_{\mathrm{lin}}(\Gamma^*)$ be left-projectable, and let $f \in C^q(G)$ be viewed as a fiberwise-constant element of $C^q(\Gamma^*)$. Then*

1. *$\varphi \star f$ is left-projectable, and*
2. *$\check{\delta}(\varphi \star f) = (\check{\delta}\varphi) \star f + (-1)^p \varphi \star (\delta f)$.*

The proof is immediate from the definitions.

5.2. **$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid cohomology and decompositions.** Let Γ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in (3.1), and let $\varphi \in C^p_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma)$ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid cochain. We define an associated map $\widehat{\varphi} : G^{(p)} \to \Gamma$, where $\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)} \in \Gamma_{g_1}$, by the equation

$$\langle \xi_1 \mid \widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)} \rangle = \varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_p) \tag{5.3}$$

for any $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_p) \in (\Gamma^*)^{(p)}$ where $\check{q}(\xi_i) = g_i$. Equation (5.1) implies that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is well-defined and that φ is completely determined by $\widehat{\varphi}$. The following lemma examines the implications of (5.2).

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\varphi \in C^p_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma)$, and let $\widehat{\varphi}$ be defined as above. Then $\widetilde{s}(\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)}) = \widetilde{s}(\widehat{\varphi}_{(1_{t(g_2)},g_2,\dots,g_p)})$ for all $(g_1,\dots,g_p) \in G^{(p)}$.*

Proof. Expressed in terms of $\widehat{\varphi}$, equation (5.2) says that

$$\langle \check{0}_{g_0} \cdot \xi \mid \widehat{\varphi}_{(g_0 g_1, g_2,\dots,g_p)} \rangle = \langle \xi \mid \widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)} \rangle \tag{5.4}$$

for all $(g_0,\dots,g_p) \in G^{(p+1)}$ and $\xi \in \Gamma^*_{g_1}$ such that $\check{t}(\xi) = 0_{t(g_1)}$. Pick any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{g_0}$ such that $\widetilde{t}(\gamma) = \widetilde{t}(\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_0 g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)})$. Then, since $\langle \check{0}_g \mid \gamma \rangle = 0$, the left side of (5.4) is equal to $\langle \check{0}_g \cdot \xi \mid \gamma \cdot \gamma^{-1} \cdot \widehat{\varphi}_{(g_0 g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)} \rangle = \langle \xi \mid \gamma^{-1} \cdot \widehat{\varphi}_{(g_0 g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)} \rangle$, where we have used (4.7). Thus, we have that

$$\langle \xi \mid \gamma^{-1} \cdot \widehat{\varphi}_{(g_0 g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)} - \widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)} \rangle = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

From the definition of $\check{t}$, we see that (5.5) holds for all $\xi \in \Gamma^*_{g_1}$ such that $\check{t}(\xi) = 0_{t(g_1)}$ if and only if $\widetilde{s}(\gamma^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_0 g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)} - \widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)}) = 0$, or equivalently, $\widetilde{s}(\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_0 g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)}) = \widetilde{s}(\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)})$. We obtain the desired result by setting $g_0 = g_1^{-1}$. ☐

Conversely, given a map $\widehat{\varphi} : G^{(p)} \to \Gamma$, where $\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)} \in \Gamma_{g_1}$, satisfying the equation in Lemma 5.4, then we may define a linear cochain $\varphi \in C^p_{\mathrm{lin}}(\Gamma^*)$ by equation (5.3). Equation (5.1) will automatically hold, and the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.4 may be reversed to deduce that (5.2) holds. In other words, we have the following result:

Proposition 5.5. *The map $\varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}$ given by equation (5.4) is a bijection from $C^p_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma)$ to the space of maps $\widehat{\varphi} : G^{(p)} \to \Gamma$, where $\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)} \in \Gamma_{g_1}$, such that $\widetilde{s}(\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_p)}) = \widetilde{s}(\widehat{\varphi}_{(1_{t(g_2)},g_2,\dots,g_p)})$ for all $(g_1,\dots,g_p) \in G^{(p)}$.*

Now suppose that Γ is equipped with a (right)-horizontal lift $h : s^*E \to \Gamma$. Given $\varphi \in C^p_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma)$, we may then decompose $\widehat{\varphi}$ as in (3.5) to obtain an E-valued G-cochain $\varphi^E \in C^{p-1}(G;E)$ and a C-valued G-cochain $\varphi^C \in C^p(G;C)$, given by the equation

$$\widehat{\varphi}_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)} = h_{g_1}(\varphi^E_{(g_2,\dots,g_p)}) + \varphi^C_{(g_1,\dots,g_p)} \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g_1}. \tag{5.6}$$

Note that φ^E does not depend on g_1, as a consequence of Lemma 5.4.

We view the pair (φ^E, φ^C) as an element of $C^{p-1}(G;E) \oplus C^p(G;C) = C(G;E \oplus C[1])^{p-1}$. The following result is immediate.

Theorem 5.6. *The map $\Theta_h : \varphi \mapsto (\varphi^E, \varphi^C)$ is an isomorphism of graded right $C(G)$-modules from $C_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma)[1]$ to $C(G;E \oplus C[1])$.*

The isomorphism Θ_h in Theorem 5.6 depends on the choice of horizontal lift and is therefore noncanonical. However, given such a choice, we may use Θ_h to transfer the coboundary operator $\check{\delta}$ on $C_{\mathcal{VB}}(\Gamma)$ to an operator $\mathcal{D}_h$ on $C(G;E \oplus C[1])$. The operator $\mathcal{D}_h$ satisfies the Leibniz rule as a result of Lemma 5.3, and it squares to zero and preserves normalized cochains since $\check{\delta}$ does. Thus we have the following:

Corollary 5.7. *The operator $\mathcal{D}_h := \Theta_h \circ (-\check{\delta}) \circ \Theta_h^{-1}$ on $C(G;E \oplus C[1])$ is a representation up to homotopy of G on the 2-term graded vector bundle $E \oplus C[1]$.*

The minus sign in the definition of $\mathcal{D}_h$ arises from the fact that the isomorphism Θ_h involves a shift in grading.

In Appendix A, we show that the components Δ^C, Δ^E, ∂, and Ω of the representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{D}_h$ agree with the formulas given in §3.3, giving us the following:

Corollary 5.8. *The 4-tuple $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, \partial, \Omega)$, as given by formulas (3.11)–(3.14), satisfies (2.10)–(2.15) and therefore corresponds to a representation up to homotopy.*

5.3. **Dependence of the representation up to homotopy on the decomposition.** Let Γ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in (3.1). We would like to determine how the representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{D}_h$ changes under a change of horizontal lift.

Let h and $\mathring{h}$ be two horizontal lifts. Let $g \in G$ and let $e \in E_{s(g)}$. Then $\widetilde{s}(h_g(e)) = \widetilde{s}(\mathring{h}_g(e))$, so $h_g(e) - \mathring{h}_g(e)$ is vertical. We write

$$\mathring{h}_g(e) = h_g(e) + \sigma_g(e) \cdot \widetilde{0}_g \tag{5.7}$$

for a unique element $\sigma_g(e) \in C_{t(g)}$. We may view σ as a normalized element of $C^1(G;E \to C)$. Conversely, given a horizontal lift h and a normalized transformation 1-cochain $\sigma \in C^1(G;E \to C)$, we can define a new horizontal lift $\mathring{h}$ by (5.7). Thus, we have proven the following.

Lemma 5.9. *The space of (right)-horizontal lifts is an affine space modeled on the normalized subspace of $C^1(G;E \to C)$.*

Suppose that h and $\mathring{h}$ are two horizontal lifts related by σ via (5.7). From (5.6) and (5.7), we can see that the associated "chart transformation" on $C(G;E \oplus C[1])$ is given by

$$\Theta_{\mathring{h}} \circ \Theta_h^{-1} = 1 - \widehat{\sigma}, \tag{5.8}$$

where $\widehat{\sigma}$ is the operator associated to σ (see Proposition 2.12). Therefore,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathring{h}} = (1 - \widehat{\sigma}) \circ \mathcal{D}_h \circ (1 + \widehat{\sigma}). \tag{5.9}$$

In light of Proposition 2.14, we see that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathring{h}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_h$ are gauge-equivalent, and that every element of the gauge-equivalence class of $\mathcal{D}_h$ appears as $\mathcal{D}_{\mathring{h}}$ for some choice of $\mathring{h}$. We summarize the result as follows:

Theorem 5.10. *Let Γ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in (3.1). The set of all representations up to homotopy $\mathcal{D}_h$ arising from Γ for different choices of horizontal lift h is equal to exactly one gauge-equivalence class of representations up to homotopy of G on $C \oplus E[1]$.*

We can expand (5.9) and write the components $(\mathring{\Delta}^C, \mathring{\Delta}^E, \mathring{\partial}, \mathring{\Omega})$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathring{h}}$ in terms of σ and the components of $\mathcal{D}_h$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{\partial} &= \partial, \\ \mathring{\Delta}^C_g &= \Delta^C_g + \sigma_g \partial, \\ \mathring{\Delta}^E_g &= \Delta^E_g + \partial \sigma_g, \\ \mathring{\Omega}_{g_1,g_2} &= \Omega_{g_1,g_2} - \sigma_{g_1} \Delta^E_{g_2} - \Delta^C_{g_1} \sigma_{g_2} + \sigma_{g_1 g_2} - \sigma_{g_1} \partial \sigma_{g_2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

for $g \in G$ and $(g_1, g_2) \in G^{(2)}$.

6. The moduli space of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids

6.1. **Construction of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid given a representation up to homotopy.** Theorem 5.10 implies that there is a well-defined map taking isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids to gauge-equivalence classes of 2-term representations up to homotopy. In this section, we show that this map is bijective.

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a representation up to homotopy of G on a 2-term graded vector bundle $E \oplus C[1]$. Let $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, \partial, \Omega)$ be the 4-tuple corresponding to $\mathcal{D}$ via Theorem 2.13.

Define a vector bundle $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \to G$ as

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} := t^*C \oplus s^*E = \{(c, g, e) : c \in C_{t(g)},\ e \in E_{s(g)}\}.$$

We may endow $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ with a Lie groupoid structure over E, defined as follows. The source and target maps $\widetilde{s}, \widetilde{t} : \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \to E$ are given by

$$\widetilde{s}(c, g, e) = e, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\widetilde{t}(c, g, e) = \partial c + \Delta^E_g e. \tag{6.2}$$

It is clear that $\widetilde{s}$ is a submersion. Multiplication is given by

$$(c_1, g_1, e_1) \cdot (c_2, g_2, e_2) := \left(c_1 + \Delta^C_{g_1} c_2 - \Omega_{g_1,g_2} e_2, g_1 g_2, e_2\right), \tag{6.3}$$

when $e_1 = \partial c_2 + \Delta^E_{g_2} e_2$.

Given $e \in E_x$, the identity over e is $\widetilde{1}_e = (0, 1_x, e)$. Finally, the inverse map is given by

$$(c, g, e)^{-1} = \left(-\Delta^C_{g^{-1}} c + \Omega_{g^{-1},g} e, g^{-1}, \partial c + \Delta^E_g e\right).$$

Proposition 6.1. *With the above structure maps, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \rightrightarrows E$ is the total space for a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid like* (3.1).

Proof. All the conditions can be verified by direct computation. We point out the following:

- The condition $\widetilde{t}(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2) = \widetilde{t}(\gamma_1)$ is equivalent to (2.10) and (2.12).
- Associativity of the product is equivalent to (2.11) and (2.13).
- Equations (2.14) and (2.15) are equivalent to the fact that the identity map $\widetilde{1}$ we defined is indeed an identity. □

The $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ has a privileged horizontal lift $h : s^*E \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ given by $h(g, e) = (0, g, e)$. Using this horizontal lift, we can recover the components ∂, Δ^C, Δ^E, and Ω via (3.11)–(3.14).

On the other hand, suppose that we start with a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ that is equipped with a horizontal lift, giving a vector bundle decomposition $\Gamma \overset{\sim}{\to} t^*C \oplus s^*E$. Then, if ∂, Δ^C, Δ^E, and Ω are defined by (3.11)–(3.14), the source target and multiplication maps for Γ can be recovered via (6.2)–(6.3). In other words, we have the following:

Theorem 6.2. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between* 2*-term representations up to homotopy and $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids equipped with horizontal lifts.*

Together, Theorems 5.10 and 6.2 imply the following:

Corollary 6.3. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of* 2*-term representations up to homotopy and isomorphism classes of* $\mathcal{VB}$*-groupoids.*

Revisiting the analogy with linear algebra described in Figure 1, we argue that $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids are the intrinsic geometric objects whose algebraic manifestations are 2-term representations up to homotopy, in the same way that linear maps are the intrinsic geometric objects whose algebraic manifestations are matrices.

6.2. **Classification of regular $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids.** Recall from §3.3 that the core-anchor $\partial : C \to E$ associated to a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (3.1) can be defined independently of the choice of a horizontal lift (and is therefore canonical).

Definition 6.4. A $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid is called *regular* if its core-anchor ∂ has constant rank. A 2-term representation up to homotopy is *regular* if its core-anchor component ∂ has constant rank.

Clearly, a 2-term representation up to homotopy is regular if and only if it arises from a regular $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid.

As we have seen in §3.3, one can recover from a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid canonical representations of G on $K := \ker \partial$ and $\nu := \operatorname{coker} \partial$, but in general these bundles are singular. If the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid is regular, then K and ν are vector bundles.

In this section, we classify regular $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids up to isomorphism. Per Corollary 6.3, such a classification is equivalent to a classification of the moduli space of regular 2-term representations up to homotopy. We begin by considering two special cases of regular $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids.

6.2.1. *$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids of type* 1.

Definition 6.5. A $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid is *of type* 1 if its core-anchor ∂ is an isomorphism. A 2-term representation up to homotopy is *of type* 1 if its core-anchor component ∂ is an isomorphism.

We consider how the conditions (2.10)–(2.15) for the components $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, \partial, \Omega)$ of a 2-term representation up to homotopy specialize in the type 1 case. In this case, we may assume that $C = E$ and $\partial = 1$. Then, from (2.10)–(2.12) and (2.14), we have that $\Delta^C = \Delta^E$, where Δ^E is a unital quasi-action, and that Ω_{g_1,g_2} is equal to the "curvature" $\Delta^E_{g_1 g_2} - \Delta^E_{g_1}\Delta^E_{g_2}$ of Δ^E. In this case, (2.15) and the "Bianchi identity" (2.13) are automatically satisfied.

The representations up to homotopy of the type that we have just described are exactly those that arise from $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids of the form in Example 3.15. Using Corollary 6.3, we conclude the following.

Proposition 6.6. *Every* $\mathcal{VB}$*-groupoid of type* 1 *is isomorphic to a* $\mathcal{VB}$*-groupoid of the form in Example 3.15.*

6.2.2. *$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids of type 0.*

Definition 6.7. A $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid is *of type* 0 if its core-anchor ∂ is the zero map. A 2-term representation up to homotopy is *of type* 0 if its core-anchor component ∂ is the zero map.

We consider how the conditions (2.10)–(2.15) for the components $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, \partial, \Omega)$ of a 2-term representation up to homotopy specialize in the type 0 case. In this case, $\partial = 0$ and (2.10) holds automatically. Equations (2.11), (2.12), and (2.14) say that Δ^C and Δ^E are representations of G on C and E, respectively. Then we may interpret (2.13) and (2.15) as saying that $\Omega \in C^2(G; E \to C)$ is normalized and closed with respect to the differential D from (2.7).

Next, we consider how a representation up to homotopy of type 0 transforms under a gauge transformation $1 + \widehat{\sigma}$, where σ is a normalized element of $C^1(G; E \to C)$. From (5.10), we have that the representations Δ^C and Δ^E are invariant, while Ω changes by an exact term:

$$\mathring{\Omega} = \Omega - D\sigma \tag{6.4}$$

We make the following conclusions:

Proposition 6.8.

1. *A type* 0 *representation up to homotopy of* G *on* $E \oplus C[1]$ *is given by a triple* $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, \Omega)$, *where* Δ^C *and* Δ^E *are representations of* G *on* C *and* E, *respectively, and* $\Omega \in C^2(G; E \to C)$ *is normalized and closed with respect to the differential* (2.7).
2. *Two such triples* $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, \Omega)$ *and* $(\mathring{\Delta}^C, \mathring{\Delta}^E, \mathring{\Omega})$ *are gauge-equivalent if and only if* $\Delta^C = \mathring{\Delta}^C$, $\Delta^E = \mathring{\Delta}^E$, *and* Ω *is cohomologous to* $\mathring{\Omega}$.

By Corollary 6.3, we then have the following:

Corollary 6.9. *Type* 0 $\mathcal{VB}$*-groupoids like* (3.1) *with core* C *are classified up to isomorphism by triples* $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, [\Omega])$, *where*

- Δ^C *is a representation of* G *on* C,
- Δ^E *is a representation of* G *on* E,
- $[\Omega]$ *is a cohomology class in* $H^2(G; E \to C)$.

Remarks 6.10.

1. The cohomology $H(G; E \to C)$ depends on the representations Δ^C and Δ^E. In defining the cohomology class $[\Omega]$, we have used the fact that, in the type 0 case, Δ^C and Δ^E are gauge-invariant.
2. The cochains Ω appearing in the above analysis are always normalized. The conclusion in Corollary 6.9 uses the fact that the complex $C(G; E \to C)$ retracts to the subcomplex of normalized cochains. The proof given by Eilenberg and Maclane [4] for group cohomology can be easily adapted to the present context.
3. The cohomology class $[\Omega]$ in can be interpreted in terms of Lie groupoid extensions, as follows. Given a representation Δ^E of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ on a vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{q} M$, we may form the action groupoid $G * E \rightrightarrows E$ associated to the representation Δ^E (see Example 3.16). A representation Δ^C of G on another vector bundle $C \to M$ naturally induces a representation of $G * E$ on q^*C. Then we may directly identify the complexes $C(G; E \to C)$ and $C(G * E; q^*C)$. In particular, $H^2(G; E \to C)$ is identified with $H^2(G * E; q^*C)$, which classifies extensions (compatible with Δ^C) of $G * E$ by the abelian group bundle q^*C. On the other hand, if Γ is a type 0 $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, then Γ itself is an extension of $G * E$ by q^*E, classified up to isomorphism by the cohomology class $[\Omega]$.

6.2.3. *The general case.* Given two $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma_1 \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d] & E_1 \arrow[d] & \Gamma_2 \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d] & E_2 \arrow[d] \\
G \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & M & G \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & M
\end{tikzcd}$$

over the same Lie groupoid G, we can form the *direct sum* $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma_1 \oplus_G \Gamma_2 \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d] & E_1 \oplus_M E_2 \arrow[d] \\
G \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that the core of $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ is the direct sum of the cores of Γ_1 and Γ_2.

Lemma 6.11. *Given a regular* $\mathcal{VB}$*-groupoid* Γ, *there exist unique (up to isomorphism)* $\mathcal{VB}$*-groupoids* Γ_0 *of type* 0, *and* Γ_1 *of type* 1, *such that* Γ *is isomorphic to* $\Gamma_0 \oplus \Gamma_1$.

Proof. Let Γ be a regular $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in (3.1). Let $K := \ker\partial$, $F := \operatorname{im}\partial$, and $\nu := \operatorname{coker}\partial$. As a result of the regularity condition, we have that K, F, and ν are all vector bundles that fit into the short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} K \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] & F , \\ F \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] & \nu . \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.5}$$

We choose splittings of the sequences (6.5), giving isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} C &\approx K \oplus F \\ E &\approx \nu \oplus F \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Next, we make a choice of horizontal lift for Γ. After making such a choice, we have by Theorem 6.2 that the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid structure of Γ is completely described by a 4-tuple $(\Delta^C, \Delta^E, \partial, \Omega)$. Each of the components may be written in “block-matrix” form with respect to the direct sums in (6.6). In particular, the block form of ∂ is $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The other three components are not block-diagonal *a priori*; however, it is possible to simultaneously block-diagonalize Δ^C and Δ^E via a gauge transformation, giving them the form

$$\Delta^C = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^K & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta^F \end{pmatrix} \qquad\qquad \Delta^E = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^\nu & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta^F \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, Δ^K and Δ^ν are the canonical representations of G on K and ν, respectively, and Δ^F is a quasi-action on F that does depend on the gauge. From (2.11)–(2.12), we then see that Ω takes the form

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & R^F \end{pmatrix},$$

where R^F is the curvature of Δ^F. This proves that, for an appropriate choice of horizontal lift, the associated representation up to homotopy can be decomposed as the direct sum of a type 0 representation up to homotopy on $\nu \oplus K[1]$ and a type 1 representation up to homotopy on $F \oplus F[1]$. Thus we have the existence of a decomposition of the form asserted by the Theorem.

For uniqueness of Γ_0 and Γ_1 up to isomorphism, we use the classification of type 0 and type 1 $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids in Corollary 6.9 and Proposition 6.6. As a type 1 $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, Γ_1 is determined up to isomorphism by the vector bundle F. As a type 0 $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, Γ_0 is determined up to isomorphism by the representations Δ^ν and Δ^K and the cohomology class $[\omega] \in H^2(G; \nu \to K)$. Both Δ^ν and Δ^K are canonical, and it can be seen that the cohomology class $[\omega]$ is independent of the choices. $\square$

The following result is immediate from Proposition 6.6, Corollary 6.9, and Lemma 6.11.

Theorem 6.12 (Classification of regular $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids)**.** *A regular $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid is described, up to isomorphism, by a unique tuple $(M, G, E, C, \partial, \Delta^K, \Delta^\nu, [\omega])$, where*

- *M is a manifold,*
- *$G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid,*
- *$E \to M$ and $C \to M$ are vector bundles,*
- *$\partial : C \to E$ is a morphism of vector bundles,*
- *Δ^K is a representation of G on $K := \ker\partial$,*
- *Δ^ν is a representation of G on $\nu := \operatorname{coker}\partial$,*
- *$[\omega]$ is a cohomology class in $H^2(G; \nu \to K)$.*

7. Example: the “adjoint representation”

7.1. **Lie groupoids over orbits.** Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid. For $x \in M$, the *orbit* through x is $\mathcal{O}_x := t(s^{-1}(x))$. If the s-fibers of G are connected, then $\mathcal{O}_x$ is the leaf through x of the integrable distribution $F := \operatorname{im}\rho \subseteq TM$, where $\rho : A \to TM$ is the anchor map for the Lie algebroid A of G. We may restrict G to a (immersed) Lie subgroupoid $G|_{\mathcal{O}_x} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}_x$, where

$G|_{\mathcal{O}_x} := s^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_x)$. On the other hand, it is clear that the disjoint union of $G|_{\mathcal{O}_x}$ (taken over all distinct $\mathcal{O}_x$) is isomorphic to G as a set-theoretic groupoid. Intuitively, we should be able to recover the Lie groupoid structure of G from "gluing data" for how the orbits and restricted groupoids fit together, but it is not immediately clear how to make precise the notion of "gluing data".

7.2. **Bundles of Lie groups.** Suppose that $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid where $s = t$, so that G is a bundle of Lie groups over M. In this case, each orbit consists of a single point $x \in M$, and in particular, the anchor map ρ is zero, so TG is a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid of type 0, as described in §6.2.2.

Let $h : G \times_M TM \to TG$ be an Ehresmann connection for $G \to M$. Since the representation of G on TM is trivial in this case, the formula for Ω in (3.14) simplifies slightly:

$$\Omega_{(g_1,g_2)} v = (h_{g_1 g_2}(v) - h_{g_1}(v) \cdot h_{g_2}(v)) \cdot \widetilde{0}_{(g_1 g_2)^{-1}}$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in G_x$ and $v \in T_x M$. In other words, Ω measures the infinitesimal failure of parallel transport by h to induce homomorphisms of the fibers. It is possible to choose h such that Ω vanishes if and only if G is locally trivializable as a Lie group bundle. Therefore, the cohomology class $[\Omega]$ can be viewed as an obstruction to such local trivializability.

7.3. **Regular Lie groupoids.** Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a regular Lie groupoid, that is a Lie groupoid whose associated anchor map $\rho : A \to TM$ is of constant rank. The classification result of Theorem 6.12 asserts that the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid TG can be completely described up to isomorphism by the canonical representations Δ^K and Δ^ν of G on $K := \ker \rho$ and $\nu := \operatorname{coker} \rho$, respectively, and a cohomology class $[\omega] \in H^2(G; \nu \to K)$. In this section, we will give a geometric interpretation of the class $[\omega]$.

Let x be a point in M. To avoid potential technical issues, we will assume that the orbit space is nice near $\mathcal{O}_x$; specifically, we assume that the quotient $M/\sim$, where points in the same orbit are identified, has a smooth structure near $\mathcal{O}_x$ such that $\mathcal{O}_x$ is a regular value of the quotient map. As a result of this assumption, we have that the quotient map induces an isomorphism between ν_y and $T_{\mathcal{O}_x}(M/\sim)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{O}_x$, and therefore any $v \in \nu_x$ can be canonically extended to a section $\widetilde{v}$ of $\nu|_{\mathcal{O}_x}$.

Suppose that we have chosen splittings $TM \approx \nu \oplus F$ and $A \approx K \oplus F$, where $F = \operatorname{im} \rho$, and an Ehresmann connection $h : s^*(TM) \to TG$ such that the quasi-actions Δ^{TM} and Δ^A, as well as the 2-cochain Ω, are block-diagonal with respect to the chosen splittings. The existence of such an h is part of the proof of Lemma 6.11. Because of block-diagonality, we have that $\Delta^{TM}|_\nu = \Delta^\nu$, and one can see that $\Delta^\nu_g v = \widetilde{v}_{t(g)}$ for any $v \in \nu_x$ and $g \in s^{-1}(x)$. We may then express the formula for $\omega = \Omega|_\nu$ as

$$\omega_{(g_1,g_2)} v \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g_1 g_2} = h_{g_1 g_2}(\widetilde{v}_x) - h_{g_1}(\widetilde{v}_y) \cdot h_{g_2}(\widetilde{v}_x)$$

for $v \in \nu_x$ and $(g_1, g_2) \in G^{(2)}$ such that $s(g_2) = x$. In other words, ω measures the infinitesimal failure of parallel transport by h in the normal directions to give isomorphisms of the restricted groupoids $G|_{\mathcal{O}_x}$.

We may give a more simple intepretation in the nicest case, where the orbit space is smooth and the quotient map $M \to M/\sim$ is a submersion. In this case, we may think of G as a "bundle of transitive Lie groupoids" over $M/\sim$, where the fiber over $\mathcal{O}_x \in M/\sim$ is the Lie groupoid $G|_{\mathcal{O}_x}$. It is possible to choose h such that ω vanishes if and only if this bundle of transitive Lie groupoids is locally trivializable (so a "transitive Lie groupoid bundle"). Therefore, the cohomology class $[\omega]$ can be viewed as an obstruction to such local trivializability.

8. Fat category/fat groupoid

In [5], Evens, Lu, and Weinstein observed that the 1-jet prolongation groupoid J^1G of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, consisting of 1-jets of bisections, carries natural representations on the Lie algebroid A of G and on TM. They noted that, although these representations do not pass to representations of G on A and TM, there is a sense in which they induce a "representation up to homotopy" (defined differently from that of Arias Abad and Crainic [1]) on the complex $A \xrightarrow{\rho} TM$.

In this section, we describe a construction for $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids that generalizes the 1-jet prolongation groupoid. Namely, given a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid Γ as in (3.1), we construct a Lie groupoid $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma) \to M$, with canonical representations on C and E, such that $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma) = J^1G$ when $\Gamma = TG$. As a way of clarifying the relationship between Arias Abad-Crainic representations up to homotopy and the Evens-Lu-Weinstein representation up to homotopy, we briefly explain how our representation up to homotopy construction can be reproduced in terms of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma)$.

8.1. **The fat category.** Let Γ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as in (3.1). The *fat category* $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$ associated to Γ consists of pairs (g, H), where $g \in G$ and $H \subseteq \Gamma_g$ is a subspace transverse to the right-vertical subspace V_g^R (see Definition 3.6). There is an obvious projection map $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma) \to G$, where the fiber over $g \in G$ is an affine space modeled on $\operatorname{Hom}(E_{s(g)}, C_{t(g)})$. Thus, $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$ is a smooth manifold.

As the name suggests, $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$ has the structure of a Lie category, where the source and target maps factor through the projection $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma) \to G$ and the multiplication is given by $(g_1, H_1) \cdot (g_2, H_2) = (g_1g_2, H_1H_2)$, where

$$H_1H_2 = \{v_1 \cdot v_2 : v_i \in H_i \text{ and } \widetilde{s}(v_1) = \widetilde{t}(v_2)\}.$$

If (g, H) is invertible, then its inverse is simply (g^{-1}, H^{-1}). On the other hand, (g, H) is noninvertible exactly when H^{-1} fails to be transverse to $V_{g^{-1}}^R$ or, equivalently, when H fails to be transverse to V_g^L.

8.2. **The fat groupoid.** The *fat groupoid* $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma)$ associated to Γ consists of all invertible elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$, i.e. pairs (g, H), where $g \in G$ and $H \subseteq \Gamma_g$ is a subspace transverse to both V_g^R and V_g^L. The elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma)$ form an open subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$, so $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma)$ naturally inherits a smooth Lie groupoid structure.

In the case where $\Gamma = TG$, the fat groupoid $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma)$ is the same thing as the 1-jet prolongation groupoid J^1G.

8.3. **Representations of the fat category/fat groupoid.** The fat category has canonical Lie category representations ψ^C and ψ^E on the vector bundles C and E, respectively, defined as follows.

Let $(g, H) \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$, and let $e \in E_{s(g)}$. Then $\psi^E_{(g,H)}e = \widetilde{t}(v)$, where v is the unique vector in H such that $\widetilde{s}(v) = e$.

Now let $c \in C_{s(g)}$. Then $\psi^C_{(g,H)}c = v \cdot c \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g^{-1}}$, where v is the unique vector in H such that $\widetilde{s}(v) = \widetilde{t}(c)$.

The core-anchor $\partial : C \to E$ is given by $\partial(c) = \widetilde{t}(c)$. The representations ψ^C and ψ^E are related by the core-anchor: $\partial\psi^C = \psi^E\partial$.

The representations ψ^C and ψ^E restrict in the obvious way to produce Lie groupoid representations of the fat groupoid, which we will also denote as ψ^C and ψ^E.

8.4. **Sections and representations up to homotopy.** We would like to pass the canonical representations ψ^C and ψ^E of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma)$ to G. The obvious way to do so would be to choose a section of the projection map $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma) \to G$ and then use the section to pull ψ^C and ψ^E back to G. However, such a section does not always exist globally. On the other hand, global sections do always exist for the projection $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma) \to G$; indeed, such a section is equivalent to a section of the short exact sequence (3.4).

We may impose the additional requirement that a unit 1_x lift to $(1_x, \widetilde{1}(E_x))$. Sections of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma) \to G$ satisfying this requirement are in one-to-one correspondence with right-horizontal lifts of Γ. In particular, given a right-horizontal lift $h : s^*E \to \Gamma$, the map $g \mapsto \widehat{g} := (g, h_g(E_{s(g)}))$ is a section of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma) \to G$. If we use this section to pull the representations ψ^C and ψ^E back to G, we immediately recover the formulas (3.12)-(3.13) for the core and side quasi-actions.

In general, we can't expect the lift $g \mapsto \widehat{g}$ to respect multiplication, which is why Δ^C and Δ^E are only quasi-actions and not representations. The failure of the lift to respect multiplication is measured by $\widehat{g_1 g_2} - \widehat{g_1} \cdot \widehat{g_2}$, which corresponds to $\Omega_{g_1,g_2} \in \operatorname{Hom}(E_{s(g_1)}, C_{t(g_2)})$.

Appendix A. Derivation of the representation up to homotopy formulas

A.1. **Horizontal lifts and dual pairings.** Let Γ be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid. Throughout this section, we will assume that Γ has a fixed right-horizontal lift $h : s^*E \to \Gamma$. Thus, by (3.5), any $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ may be uniquely written as

$$\gamma = \gamma^V \cdot \widetilde{0}_g + h_g(\gamma^H),$$

where $\gamma^H = \widetilde{s}(\gamma) \in E_{s(g)}$ and $\gamma^V \in C^R_{t(g)}$. We refer to γ^V and γ^H, respectively, as the vertical and horizontal parts of γ. Similarly, any $\xi \in \Gamma^*_g$ may be uniquely written as

$$\xi = \check{0}_g \cdot \xi^V + \eta_g(\xi^H),$$

where $\xi^H = \check{t}(\xi) \in C^*_{t(g)}$ and $\xi^V \in E^*_{s(g)}$, with E^* identified with the *left*-core of Γ^*. Here, $\eta : t^*C^* \to \Gamma^*$ is the left-horizontal lift given by the equation

$$\langle \eta_g(\nu) \mid \gamma \rangle = \langle \nu \mid \gamma^V \rangle \tag{A.1}$$

for $\nu \in C^*_{t(g)}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$. Equation (A.1) defines a one-to-one correspondence between right-horizontal lifts on Γ and left-horizontal lifts on Γ^*. We also have the following equation, which is a consequence of the fact that the natural embedding $s^*E^* \to \Gamma^*$, $(g, \tau) \mapsto \check{0}_g \cdot \tau$, is the dual of the projection $\Gamma \to s^*E$, $\gamma \mapsto (\widetilde{q}(\gamma), \gamma^H)$:

$$\langle \check{0}_g \cdot \tau \mid \gamma \rangle = \langle \tau \mid \gamma^H \rangle. \tag{A.2}$$

Together, (A.1) and (A.2) allow us to express the pairing of γ and ξ as

$$\langle \xi \mid \gamma \rangle = \langle \xi^V \mid \gamma^H \rangle + \langle \xi^H \mid \gamma^V \rangle. \tag{A.3}$$

In particular, horizontal elements of Γ^* annihilate horizontal elements of Γ, and vertical elements of Γ^* annihilate vertical elements of Γ.

We conclude the section with two lemmas that will be useful in §A.2.

Lemma A.1. *For any* $\xi \in \Gamma^*_g$ *and* $c \in C^R_{s(g)}$,

$$\langle \check{s}(\xi) \mid c \rangle = \langle \xi^V \mid -\widetilde{t}(c) \rangle + \langle \xi^H \mid h_g(\widetilde{t}(c)) \cdot c \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g^{-1}} \rangle.$$

Proof. From the definition of $\check{s}$ in (4.3), we have

$$\langle \check{s}(\xi) \mid c \rangle = \langle \xi \mid \widetilde{0}_g \cdot (-c^{-1}) \rangle,$$

which may be decomposed via (A.3). The horizontal part of $\widetilde{0}_g \cdot (-c^{-1})$ is $\widetilde{s}(\widetilde{0}_g \cdot (-c^{-1})) = \widetilde{s}(-c^{-1}) = -\widetilde{t}(c)$. To obtain the vertical part, we subtract the horizontal lift of the horizontal part:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widetilde{0}_g \cdot (-c^{-1})) \cdot \widetilde{0}_g &= \widetilde{0}_g \cdot (-c^{-1}) + h_g(\widetilde{t}(c)) \\
&= \widetilde{0}_g \cdot (-c^{-1}) + h_g(\widetilde{t}(c)) \cdot \widetilde{1}\widetilde{t}(c) \\
&= (\widetilde{0}_g + h_g\widetilde{t}(c)) \cdot (-c^{-1} + \widetilde{1}\widetilde{t}(c)) \\
&= h_g\widetilde{t}(c) \cdot c.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we have used (3.9). Thus the vertical part of $\widetilde{0}_g \cdot (-c^{-1})$ is $h_g(\widetilde{t}(c)) \cdot c \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g^{-1}}$, and the result follows from (A.3). □

Since $\Gamma = (\Gamma^*)^*$, we may dualize Lemma A.1 by exchanging C and E^*, Γ and Γ^*, left and right, left multiplication and right multiplication, and source and target. The dual statement is as follows:

Lemma A.2. *For any* $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ *and* $\tau \in E^*_{t(g)}$ *(where* E^* *is embedded in* Γ^* *as the left-core),*

$$\langle \tau \mid \widetilde{t}(\gamma) \rangle = \langle -\check{s}(\tau) \mid \gamma^V \rangle + \langle \check{0}_{g^{-1}} \cdot \tau \cdot \eta_g(\check{s}(\tau)) \mid \gamma^H \rangle.$$

A.2. **Formulas for representation up to homotopy components.** We wish to obtain formulas for the four components Δ^C, Δ^E, ∂, and Ω that make up the representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{D}_h$ in Corollary 5.7. We can do this by applying $\mathcal{D}_h$ to 0-cochains $\alpha \in \Gamma(C) = C^0(G; C)$ and $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E) = C^0(G; E)$, and decomposing the resulting cochains into C- and E-valued parts, as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}_h \alpha = D^C \alpha + \partial \alpha, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_h \varepsilon = \Omega \varepsilon + D^E \varepsilon. \tag{A.5}$$

First, we will use (A.4) to derive formulas for Δ^C and ∂. By alternatively viewing α as a section of C and as a linear function on C^*, we may write $\Theta_h^{-1}\alpha = \alpha$, and therefore we have $\mathcal{D}_h \alpha = -\Theta_h \circ \check{\delta}\alpha$. Using (2.8), (5.3), and (5.6), we obtain the equation

$$\langle \xi \mid (\alpha_{t(g)} - \Delta^C_g \alpha_{s(g)}) \cdot \widetilde{0}_g + h_g \cdot \partial \alpha_{s(g)} \rangle = -\check{\delta}\alpha(\xi) \tag{A.6}$$

for $\xi \in \Gamma^*_g$. If we set $\xi = \check{0}_g \cdot \tau$ for $\tau \in E^*_{s(g)}$, then by (A.2) and Lemma A.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau \mid \partial \alpha_{s(g)} \rangle &= -\check{\delta}\alpha(\check{0}_g \cdot \tau) \\ &= -\alpha(\check{s}(\check{0}_g \cdot \tau)) + \alpha(\check{t}(\check{0}_g \cdot \tau)) \\ &= -\langle \check{s}(\tau) \mid \alpha_{s(g)} \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau \mid \widetilde{t}(\alpha_{s(g)}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so, setting $\alpha_{s(g)} = c$, we obtain the same formula as (3.11).

On the other hand, if we set $\xi = \eta_g(\nu)$ for $\nu \in C^*_{t(g)}$ in equation (A.6), then by (A.1) and Lemma A.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu \mid \alpha_{t(g)} - \Delta^C_g \alpha_{s(g)} \rangle &= -\check{\delta}\alpha(\eta_g(\nu)) \\ &= -\alpha(\check{s}(\eta_g(\nu))) + \alpha(\check{t}(\eta_g(\nu))) \\ &= -\langle \check{s}(\eta_g(\nu)) \mid \alpha_{s(g)} \rangle + \langle \nu \mid \alpha_{t(g)} \rangle \\ &= -\langle \nu \mid h_g(\widetilde{t}(\alpha_{s(g)})) \cdot \alpha_{s(g)} \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g^{-1}} \rangle + \langle \nu \mid \alpha_{t(g)} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so, setting $\alpha_{s(g)} = c$, we obtain the same formula as (3.12).

Next, we will use (A.5) to derive formulas for Ω and Δ^E. For $\varepsilon \in \Gamma(E)$, equations (5.3) and (5.6) imply that $\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon$ is given by

$$\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon(\xi) = \langle \xi \mid h_g(\varepsilon_{s(g)}) \rangle \tag{A.7}$$

for $\xi \in \Gamma^*_g$. Using (2.9), (5.3), and (5.6), we obtain the equation

$$\langle \xi_1 \mid \Omega_{(g_1, g_2)} \varepsilon_{s(g_2)} \cdot \widetilde{0}_{g_1} + h_{g_1}(\Delta^E_{g_2} \varepsilon_{s(g_2)} - \varepsilon_{t(g_2)}) \rangle = -\check{\delta}(\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon)(\xi_1, \xi_2) \tag{A.8}$$

for $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in (\Gamma^*)^{(2)}_{(g_1, g_2)}$. If we set $\xi_1 = \check{0}_{g_1} \cdot \tau$ for $\tau \in E^*_{s(g_1)}$, then by (A.2) we have

$$\langle \tau \mid \Delta^E_{g_2} \varepsilon_{s(g_2)} - \varepsilon_{t(g_2)} \rangle = -\check{\delta}(\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon)(\check{0}_{g_1} \cdot \tau, \xi_2). \tag{A.9}$$

On the right side of (A.9), we are free to pick any $\xi_2 \in \Gamma^*_{g_2}$ such that $\check{t}(\xi_2) = \check{s}(\tau)$, so we take $\xi_2 = \eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\tau))$. Then, by (A.3), (A.7), and Lemma A.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tau \mid \Delta^E_{g_2}\varepsilon_{s(g_2)} - \varepsilon_{t(g_2)}\rangle &= -\check{\delta}(\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon)(\check{0}_{g_1}\cdot\tau, \eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\tau)))\\ &= -\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon(\eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\tau))) + \Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon(\check{0}_{g_1}\cdot\tau\cdot\eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\tau))) - \Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon(\check{0}_{g_1}\cdot\tau)\\ &= -\langle \eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\tau)) \mid h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})\rangle + \langle \check{0}_{g_1}\cdot\tau\cdot\eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\tau)) \mid h_{g_1g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})\rangle\\ &\quad - \langle \check{0}_{g_1}\cdot\tau \mid h_{g_1}(\varepsilon_{s(g_1)})\rangle\\ &= -0 + \langle \tau \mid \widetilde{t}(h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}))\rangle - \langle \tau \mid \varepsilon_{s(g_1)}\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

so, setting $\varepsilon_{s(g_2)} = e$ and $g_2 = g$, we obtain (3.13).

On the other hand, if we set $\xi_1 = \eta_{g_1}(\nu)$ for $\nu \in C^*_{t(g_1)}$, then by (A.1) we have

$$\langle \nu \mid \Omega_{(g_1,g_2)}\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}\rangle = -\check{\delta}(\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon)(\eta_{g_1}(\nu), \xi_2).$$

As above, we are free to pick any $\xi_2 \in \Gamma^*_{g_2}$ such that $\check{t}(\xi_2) = \check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu))$, so we take $\xi_2 = \eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu)))$. Then by (A.3) and (A.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nu \mid \Omega_{(g_1,g_2)}\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}\rangle &= -\check{\delta}(\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon)(\eta_{g_1}(\nu), \eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu))))\\ &= -\Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon(\eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu)))) + \Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon(\eta_{g_1}(\nu)\cdot\eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu))))\\ &\quad - \Theta_h^{-1}\varepsilon(\eta_{g_1}(\nu))\\ &= -\langle \eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu))) \mid h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})\rangle\\ &\quad + \langle \eta_{g_1}(\nu)\cdot\eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu))) \mid h_{g_1g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})\rangle\\ &\quad - \langle \eta_{g_1}(\nu) \mid h_{g_1}(\varepsilon_{s(g_1)})\rangle\\ &= -0 + \langle \eta_{g_1}(\nu)\cdot\eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu))) \mid h_{g_1g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})\rangle - 0.\end{aligned}$$

If we add the term

$$\langle \eta_{g_1g_2}(\nu) - \eta_{g_1}(\nu)\cdot\eta_{g_2}(\check{s}(\eta_{g_1}(\nu))) \mid h_{g_1g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}) - h_{g_1}(\widetilde{t}(h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})))\cdot h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})\rangle,$$

which is equal to zero since both sides of the pairing are vertical, we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nu \mid \Omega_{(g_1,g_2)}\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}\rangle &= \langle \eta_{g_1g_2}(\nu) \mid h_{g_1g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}) - h_{g_1}(\widetilde{t}(h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})))\cdot h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})\rangle\\ &= \langle \nu \mid (h_{g_1g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}) - h_{g_1}(\widetilde{t}(h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)})))\cdot h_{g_2}(\varepsilon_{s(g_2)}))\cdot\widetilde{0}_{(g_1g_2)^{-1}}\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

so, by setting $\varepsilon_{s(g_2)} = e$, we obtain (3.14).

References

[1] C. Arias Abad and M. Crainic. Representations up to homotopy and Bott's spectral sequence for Lie groupoids. *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2009.

[2] H. Bursztyn and A. Cabrera. In progress.

[3] A. Coste, P. Dazord, and A. Weinstein. Groupoïdes symplectiques. In *Publications du Département de Mathématiques. Nouvelle Série. A, Vol. 2*, volume 87 of *Publ. Dép. Math. Nouvelle Sér. A*, pages i–ii, 1–62. Univ. Claude-Bernard, Lyon, 1987.

[4] S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane. Cohomology theory in abstract groups. I. *Annals of Mathematics*, 48(1):51–78, 1947.

[5] S. Evens, J.-H. Lu, and A. Weinstein. Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 50(200):417–436, 1999.

[6] A. Gracia-Saz and R. A. Mehta. Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids. *Adv. Math.*, 223(4):1236–1275, 2010.

[7] D. Li-Bland and P. Severa. Quasi-Hamiltonian groupoids and multiplicative Manin pairs. *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2009.

[8] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Double Lie algebroids and the double of a Lie bialgebroid. arXiv: math.DG/9808081.

[9] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. I. *Adv. Math.*, 94(2):180–239, 1992.

[10] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. II. *Adv. Math.*, 154(1):46–75, 2000.

[11] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Notions of double for Lie algebroids, 2000. arXiv: math/0011212.

[12] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Duality and triple structures. In *The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry*, volume 232 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 455–481. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.

[13] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, volume 213 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[14] K. C. H. Mackenzie. Ehresmann doubles and Drinfel'd doubles for Lie algebroids and Lie bialgebroids, 2006. arXiv: math/0611799.

[15] J. Pradines. Remarque sur le groupoïde cotangent de Weinstein-Dazord. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 306(13):557–560, 1988.

University of Victoria, P.O. Box 3060 STN CSC, Victoria BC, V8W 3R4, Canada
E-mail address: `alfonso@uvic.ca`

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA 16802
E-mail address: `mehta@math.psu.edu`